

Probantheline Bromide and Cimetidine in the Control of Abomasal Acid Secretion

R. H. Whitlock and J. L. Becht,
*Medicine Section, Department of Clinical Studies,
School of Veterinary Medicine,
University of Pennsylvania,
Kennett Square, PA 19348*

Each of three cows fitted with a rumen cannula were maintained on a constant diet for two weeks prior to the experiment. Each cow was given 4, 8 and 16 mg/kg cimetidine and probantheline bromide to determine the effects of these drugs on acid secretion. Samples were aspirated every 30 minutes from the abomasum and rumen for one hour prior to receiving the drug and for 5½ hours after the treatment. The pH measurements were completed in duplicate on a Model 5 Corning pH Meter. The samples were also analyzed for chloride concentration.

Neither probantheline nor cimetidine had any significant effect on abomasal pH, suggesting neither drug would be of value in treating abomasal ulcers.

Question: Do histamines cause ulcers?

Dr. Whitlock: I do not have any experimental data to support that but my impression is that histamines by themselves are of minimal importance in the pathogenesis of ulcers. Likewise, antihistamines would probably be of little value in therapy.

Dietary Effects on Abomasal Motility in Cattle

J. L. Becht, R. H. Whitlock and W. Chalupa,
*Section of Medicine (Becht and Whitlock) and
Nutrition (Chalupa), Department of Clinical Studies,
School of Veterinary Medicine,
University of Pennsylvania,
New Bolton Center,
Kennett Square, PA 19348*

This experiment was an attempt to provide additional information on the nutritional etiopathogenesis of abomasal displacement in cattle and stimulate more attention to the prepartum and early postpartum feeding of cattle to prevent abomasal displacement. The objectives of this study were: (1) to determine the effect of various rations on abomasal electromyographic activity, contractile strength and intraluminal pressure changes and (2) to determine the relationship of rumen volatile fatty acid (VFA) concentration and abomasal VFA concentration to abomasal motility. Three adult nonlactating Jersey cows were fitted with a ruminal cannula, abomasal cannula and three silver/silver chloride bipolar electrodes were implanted into the abomasal wall to measure electromyographic activity. The electrodes were alternated with two extraluminal strain gauges to record abomasal wall mechanical activity. Intraluminal pressures were measured in the rumen and abomasum with pressure manometers. Abomasal motility was measured in three cows fed three different rations. The rations evaluated were: Ration A: corn silage (75% of net energy requirements [NER]) and concentrate (25% NER); Ration B: grass hay (75% NER) and concentrate (25% NER); and Ration C: alfalfa hay (45% NER), corn silage (30% NER) and concentrate (5% NER).

Recordings were made with a Model R-711 Beckman 12 channel physiograph by connecting to a 9-pin plug implanted in the right paralumbar fossa, which contained wires from the

electrodes and strain gauges. Recording began 1 hour prior to morning feeding, and continued 6 hours post-feeding. The number of pacesetter potentials (PP, also designated as slow waves, basic electrical rhythm, or electrical control activity) were counted and expressed as cycles per minute. The action potentials (AP, also referred to as spike potentials, fast activity or electrical response activity) associated with PP were identified and expressed as a percentage of the PP frequency. Rumen and abomasal fluid were obtained at hourly intervals and VFA's measured by chromatography. Variations in abomasal motility and VFA concentration with the different rations evaluated will be presented, viz:

Contrary to Swenson's findings we did not see an increase in abomasal contractions during a corn silage diet, neither on grass diet. We can therefore seriously question the theory that cows on an increased concentrate diet have decreased abomasal motility which predisposes them to abomasal displacement. We are now studying the effect of feed restriction of similar diets, diets of difference as far as hay, corn silage and either one of alfalfa hay on abomasal motility and possibly predisposed abomasal displacement.

Question: How are you doing this?

Answer: We have three cows and three diets and each cow is on a diet. We change diets in 10-14 day periods and put a different cow on a different diet. During that time we monitor abomasal motility.

Effect of GnRH and PGF2 alpha on Reproduction in Postpartum Dairy Cows

G. F. Richardson, L. F. Archbald, R. A. Godke,
D. M. Galton and R. H. Ingraham,
*Departments of Veterinary Clinical Science,
Animal Science, Dairy Science, and
Veterinary Physiology and Toxicology,
Louisiana State University,
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803*

Thirty dairy cows were injected intramuscularly with 100 mcg gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) at 10-16 days postpartum followed by 25 mg. prostaglandin F2 alpha (PGF2 alpha) 14 days later. Twenty-nine other dairy cows (controls) were treated in a similar manner but saline was injected rather than GnRH or PGF2 alpha. Blood samples were taken for progesterone analysis before each injection and approximately 30 hours after the PGF2 alpha or second saline injection. The reproductive tract was evaluated by rectal palpation at the time of each injection. Only cows without obvious uterine infection were used and any pathological conditions that developed subsequently were treated after the experimental period. The cows were inseminated at the first estrus after 35-40 days postpartum.

Fourteen days after the administration of GnRH, 20 out of 28 cows showed an increase in plasma progesterone to greater than 1 ng/ml as compared to 14 out of 28 controls (P 0.05). Mean plasma progesterone concentrations for cows that showed an increase in plasma progesterone 14 days after GnRH or saline administration were 3.53 ng/ml for treatment cows and 2.64 ng/ml for controls (P 0.05). Thirty hours after PGF2 alpha injection, 20 out of 22 cows with active corpora lutea showed a decline in plasma progesterone to less than 1 ng/ml as compared to 3 out of 13 controls (P 0.01). There were no significant differences between the two groups in interval to first observed estrus, interval to first service, first service pregnancy rate, services