

weight, and muscle samples from bottom round steaks were harvested from the darted area and the non-darted side for Warner-Bratzler Shear Force analysis.

Results

Mean maximum plasma or serum concentrations (C_{max}) were: CCFA: 127±93 ng/ml; tildipirosin: 360±41 ng/ml (outlier removed); tulathromycin: 498±257 ng/ml (outlier removed). Elimination half-lives were: CCFA: 74±40 hr; tildipirosin: 77±15 hr; tulathromycin: 96±22 hr. Bottom round steaks from the non-darted side tended to be more

tender than steaks from the darted side ($p=0.08$). Steaks from cattle treated with saline or CCFA were significantly more tender than those treated with tulathromycin or tildipirosin ($p=0.003$). Steaks from tildipirosin-treated cattle were significantly less tender on the darted side than the non-darted side ($p<0.05$).

Significance

Dart delivery of antibiotics may result in changes in tenderness and somewhat altered plasma pharmacokinetics.

Relationship between trauma observed during unloading and carcass bruise prevalence in finished cattle at commercial slaughter facilities

T.L. Lee, MS, DVM¹; S.J. Bartle, PhD²; M. Apley, DVM, PhD³; G.H. Loneragan, BVSc, PhD⁴; C. Vahl, PhD⁵; C.D. Reinhardt, PhD⁶; M. Siemens, PhD⁷; D.U. Thomson, DVM, PhD¹

¹Department of Diagnostic Medicine/Pathobiology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

²Beef Cattle Institute, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

³Department of Clinical Sciences, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

⁴Department of Animal and Food Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409

⁵Department of Statistics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

⁶Department of Animal Science, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506

Introduction

Bruising in cattle is an indicator of poor welfare, as well as a significant cause of economic loss due to decreased carcass value. Vehicle design, transport conditions, and loading/unloading procedures are considered potential sources of carcass bruising; however, none of these have been explored extensively. The objective of the current study was to determine whether a relationship exists between trauma incurred during unloading and prevalence of carcass bruising in finished beef cattle at commercial slaughter facilities.

Materials and Methods

Carcass bruises were categorized by location and size, according to the Harvest Audit Program™ Carcass Bruise Scoring System. Traumatic events were observed as cattle exited trailers onto the unloading docks, and were categorized as "back," "shoulder," or "rib/hip" events. Descriptive statistics are reported, as well as simple linear regression models developed to explore the relationship between overall carcass bruising and traumatic events, and the relationships between bruising and traumatic events in the 3 categories 'back,' 'shoulder,' 'rib/hip.'

Results

Average carcass bruise prevalence per lot was 67.60% (+ 1.16%). Average prevalence of bruises along the dorsal midline was 53.52% (+ 1.12%). Bruising along the left and right sides of the carcass averaged 19.98% (+ 1.04%) and 26.49% (+ 1.10%), respectively. Average traumatic event prevalence per lot was 20.75% (+ 1.12%). Average prevalence for small, medium, and large bruises were 28.64% (+ 1.31%), 41.77% (+ 0.97%), and 29.58% (+ 1.81%), respectively. Regression analysis revealed an R² of 0.08 when comparing overall carcass bruise prevalence with traumatic event prevalence.

Significance

The correlation between traumatic events and carcass bruising is quite low, indicating that bruising likely occurs at numerous other points prior to and during the transportation process, including loading and transport. These areas should be explored to determine all potential causes of bruising in beef carcasses, and to help implement prevention practices.