Analysis of published and clinical sample data to develop new ranges of liver and blood concentrations of vitamin A for diagnosis of vitamin A deficiency in cattle
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Abstract
In cattle, liver stores of vitamin A (VA) maintain blood VA concentrations until liver supplies are nearly exhausted, providing VA to tissues during insufficient dietary intake. Therefore, normal blood levels do not indicate adequate VA, but low blood levels indicate a deficiency. We hypothesized that previous diagnostic criteria for VA deficiency needed updating and that blood levels of VA could be used as indicators of liver VA deficiency. We performed multiple regressions of paired blood and liver sample data from published experimental and field case reports of VA deficiency in cattle to demonstrate this. We fitted various models using data from unpaired clinical samples submitted to the Iowa State University Diagnostic Laboratory by comparing fractiles of liver and plasma/serum samples. Using these relationships, we propose new ranges for severely deficient, deficient, marginal and adequate, and we also propose that blood samples alone can be used to diagnose deficiency in the presence of clinical signs of VA deficiency. Veterinary diagnostic laboratories can use this information to better estimate serum and liver VA concentrations for unpaired data and recommend to cattle practitioners the status of VA in their herds.
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Introduction
Vitamin A (VA) is required in cattle rations and is essential for regenerating the visual purple pigment rhodopsin necessary for dim-light vision, normal bone growth, and maintenance of normal epithelial tissues.1,2 The numerous pathophysiological effects of deficiency in cattle include poor night vision and blindness,3-8 poor bone growth,9-12 atrophy of epithelial cells in tissues that have a secretory and covering role,13 embryological development,14,15 immune mechanisms,9,16,17 weight gain,9-11 and loss of reproductive functions.15,18 Many reports about the clinical signs of hypovitaminosis A are from experimental studies.13,13,19-22 Reports of naturally occurring hypovitaminosis A also provide potential associations of clinical signs or histopathological or other diagnostic findings with VA blood or liver concentrations.3-8,14,22,23 These studies support using VA liver or blood concentrations to diagnose deficiency.

The objectives of this study were to:
1. Find an appropriate statistical model to describe the relationship between liver and blood levels of VA based on individual animal-paired sample data in published reports.
2. Determine the relationship between liver and blood levels of VA using unpaired data from a diagnostic laboratory’s clinical submissions.
3. Determine if the current diagnostic criteria (Puls’)24 for deficiency are adequate and propose revisions.
4. Evaluate the clinical relevance of differences in the distribution of deficiency using the published criteria compared to the proposed criteria.

Materials and methods
Acquisition and modeling of published data on concentrations of VA in paired blood and liver samples in cattle
A literature review harvested paired and unpaired serum/plasma and liver VA data for individual beef or dairy cattle, or herd data, from studies13,26-29 and one thesis30 published from 1920 to the present. Searches for vitamin A in cattle were carried out numerous times by both authors between December 2019 and December 2022. Searches used Google, Google Scholar, Pubmed, Chemical Abstracts, Dogpile.com, Proquest Digital Dissertations, Academic Search Ultimate Plus, Scopus, Web of Science, WorldCat Discovery, CrossRef and citations in retrieved publications. All languages were eligible but articles were excluded if there was no English, Spanish, French or Portuguese abstract or if they were not translatable by Google or Microsoft Translate. Articles without statistical data were excluded. Articles with strongly asymmetrical summary data (e.g., mean and median were statistically unequal, the median was greater than the mean, the skew was significantly different from zero, or the mean minus twice the standard deviation was negative) or that were missing data necessary for evaluating relationships (e.g., correlations between tissues within animals, dam and neonate, or feed and tissue concentrations) were also excluded.

Vitamin A articles were reviewed if they included the following initial terms:
1. Vitamin A AND Puls’ guidelines
2. Vitamin A AND Puls AND cattle
3. Vitamin A AND deficiency AND (cattle OR bovine OR calf OR calves OR beef OR dairy)
4. 1 to 3 AND (liver OR hepatic) AND (serum OR blood OR plasma)
5. Subsequently, “ruminant” was added to the OR list.
6. Alternative terms in an article were searched for first, and if there were multiple hits, they were added to 1 to 6.
Initial terms for statistical analysis were:

1. 1 to 6 AND correlation OR regression
2. Subsequently, vii plus OR discriminant analysis OR (mean OR median) AND (standard deviation OR standard error OR confidence interval.)

The terms “distribution”, “normal distribution”, “Gaussian distribution” and “lognormal” were not reliably associated with the studies themselves but most often with a citation. Statistical terms were removed, replaced or added such as reference range, skew and kurtosis. Most individual animal data was in 1 thesis published before 1970.30 Dry-weight (dw) was converted to wet-weight (ww) as ww = dw/4, as recommended in Puls’ guidelines.24

Most articles that reported only descriptive statistics for liver and blood fraction failed to report their correlation or Pearson’s correlation for data that were not Gaussian (normal distribution). We judged nonnormality by one or more of the following criteria: mean – 2 SD < 0, mean + 3 SD > maximum, mean < median, histogram, probability plot or quantile plot. Summary statistics were entered directly into Microsoft Excel3. Data from individual animals were extracted from PDF-formatted tables into Excel using Cogniview PDF2XL Enterprise® or copied into Excel. Data reported only in figures were extracted using manual digitization (CurveExpert Pro®, Engauge Digitizer® or WebPlot Digitizer®); automatic digitization was usually impossible due to low resolution, an overlap of symbols, or extraneous marks on available copies. Excel tables of extracted data were verified by visual agreement of the extracted data and reported values and agreement of the descriptive statistics. Tables were merged and aligned by the animal for tissues or calf-dam pairs.

We fitted all the published data sets to 67 nonlinear regression models for consistent statistical analysis. The models with the most significant diagnostic scores of regression fit and those with the smallest AICs were retained for each dataset. We ordered the models for each dataset by mathematical simplicity, e.g., linear > quadratic > log (either serum or liver) > log-log (serum and liver) > other. Retained models were mathematically compared to the “best” model using a built-in option. Models with discontinuities, wide confidence intervals, sigmoidal (S-shaped), or multiple peaks and valleys, were excluded.

Animal care
No animals were involved in this research, only published data and the ISU-VDL database.

Statistical analysis of liver and serum concentrations from unrelated samples submitted to ISU-VDL data
Vitamin A serum and liver concentrations in samples from cattle presented to the ISU-VDL between 2001 and 2021 were provided by Dr. Kent J. Schwartz. Variables extracted from the dataset included accession ID, date, breed, state, farm, stage, Dx code and serum and liver VA concentrations. The ISU-VDL considered the accession ID a case regardless of the number of cattle included. When multiple cattle shared an accession ID, the database included the count, mean, median, low, high and standard deviation, but not the individual animal’s values.

Outliers identified from histograms and outlier statistics from fits of continuous univariate distributions3, such as normal, lognormal and logistic, were deleted.

Data with similar properties can be grouped and defined so that the areas under the probability density curve between points and zero are equal to specified fractions (fractiles) or include equal numbers of points (quantile). Order statistics include fractions, quantiles, ranks, minimum, maximum and median.

For each published study, the same fractiles and quantiles of the paired serum and liver concentrations were estimated using linear interpolation (Systat 13.1). Spearman’s rank correlation was calculated for each dataset. Quantiles for a single variable plotted against the fraction of the distribution is a Q-Q plot. Quantiles from two variables plotted against each other is a quantile-quantile or Q-Q plot. The two variables in a Q-Q plot do not have to be paired or have the same distribution. It has been known since the 1930s that vitamin A concentrations in calves and their dams are significantly correlated.26,27,30-32 We compared published equations from the paired dam and calf liver and serum VA concentrations26,27,30-32 to our equations from unrelated adults and calves in the ISU-VDL data. A “herd” relationship is inherent in Q-Q plots, random sampling, statistical procedures, and distributions (z, t, F, and chi-square). Ordinary least squares (OLS) linear regression was depicted by a trend line through the means of a normal distribution of y values with the same shape at each X.

We grouped liver and serum VA concentrations into fractiles of their distribution; for example, 4 groups of about equal size are the quartiles33-35 displayed in a box plot. The quartiles are equivalent to composite samples, for example, of bulk feeds. Vitamin A concentrations in sera and livers were separately grouped into 15 fractiles3, and we fitted the values to the 67 nonlinear regression models3, for y = liver and x = serum/plasma and x = serum/plasma and y = liver. If x and y are independently correlated with variable z (here, z = fractiles), they are correlated with each other.33-35 Grouping changes tissue correlations from paired values within an animal to unpaired values from the group.

Results
Experimental and field studies establish bovine hypovitaminosis based on serum and liver VA concentrations
Puls’ serum criteria (Table 1)24 were the initial concentrations we used to establish a new range (Table 2) for deficient and corresponding changes for marginal and adequate. The idea of these new ranges was to close gaps in Puls’ guidelines with an empty classification, which is hard to interpret by diagnosticians. The new ranges are based on experimental studies with large groups of calves on the clinical consequences of VA deficiency (squamous metaplasia of the parotid gland, ocular papilledema, increased cisternal cerebrospinal fluid, and poor vaccination response).12,13,16,19,21 The studies reported a continuum of adequate versus deficient concentrations for paired serum and liver concentrations. Based on these studies, the following categories are proposed. At serum concentrations causing clinical deficiency (< 0.2 ppm), most liver concentrations were ≤ 7.5 ppm ww. At marginal serum levels (0.2 < < 0.3 ppm), most liver concentrations were 7.5 – 25 ppm ww. Serum ≥ 0.3 ppm or liver ≥ 25 ppm ww were adequate.
These ranges fill gaps between VA categories in Puls’ guidelines and are consistent with reports of clinical or pathological effects correlated with the degree of hypovitaminosis A.

Eleven papers representative of reported clinical and pathological findings of hypovitaminosis A in cattle were selected to fill gaps in Puls’ ranges based on associations between clinical consequences and degree of VA deficiency in liver and serum samples. All included data for VA concentrations in liver and sera from affected animals, and field case investigations ruled out other potential causes.

Puls did not assign the serum range to a category (Table 1), creating a gap in which his marginal concentrations might become deficient. To establish preliminary serum VA ranges for severely deficient, deficient, marginal and adequate (Table 2), we compared our analyses to Puls’ guidelines. Based on an uncertainty factor for situations where vitamin A may naturally drop, such as near parturition and infections, our marginal concentration (0.2 - < 0.3 ppm) for serum VA is between Puls’ deficient and adequate.

Correlation of serum or liver VA from adults and calves with different accession IDs

The best fit of the fractiles of VA concentrations in calf sera to adult sera in Table 3 was a linear relationship. Liver concentrations were also fitted by a linear relationship:

\[
\text{Liver (ppm, dry weight)} = 0.5076 \pm 1.488 + 0.334 \pm 0.0082 \times \text{Liver (ppm, dry weight)}, R^2 = 0.985.
\]

A test of the difference for Akaike’s Information Criterion (AICC) favored the power model (AICC = 196.0) over the modified power model (AICC = 209.5; P = 0.0011).

Criteria derived from liver and serum samples from unrelated animals

Statistical criteria and visual inspection of plots indicated the paired fractiles were fitted by a power law, \(y = ax^b\), which is linearized by taking logarithms on both sides, \(\log(y) = d + b \log(x)\), where \(d = \log(a)\) (Figure 1). Deficient liver
concentrations (≤ 7.35 ppm ww) corresponded to ≤ 0.2 ppm in serum, and marginal liver concentrations of 7.35 - 24.23 ppm ww corresponded to 0.2 - < 0.3 ppm in serum (Table 2). Based on these correlations, new ranges for liver VA concentrations are proposed in Table 2. The classification of bovine vitamin A concentrations in sera and liver from the ISU-VDL using Puls 24 (Table 1) and our (Table 2) schemes are reported in Table 4. At liver concentrations > 25 ppm ww, most serum concentrations would be within the normal range for serum 0.3 - 0.8 ppm. Most serum concentrations would be marginal (0.2 - < 0.3 ppm) at 7.5 to 25 ppm ww in the liver. For the liver, 430/714 (60.2%) samples were severely deficient or deficient. The larger category was extremely deficient (338/714, 47.3%). According to the new classification in Table 2, serum VA concentrations were severely deficient or deficient in 110 of 421 samples (26%). The majority (273, 68.4%) were adequate. The distributions of the revised concentration range for the ISU-VDL data, with most animals being beef cattle, are in Table 4.

**Discussion**

Most published regression analyses of VA and associated analytes (retinoids, β-carotene) correlate concentrations in the same tissue samples or multiple tissues from the same animals. Regression can also be carried out on grouped data weighted by the group size, e.g., histogram. This discussion focuses on correlations between tissues from unpaired samples, which we term “herd-based” (or composite-sample) correlation. Size-weighted regression on grouped data replicates the coefficients obtained from paired values, which we term “herd-based” (or composite-sample) correlation. Size-weighted regression on grouped data replicates the coefficients obtained from paired values, 37,38 but the standard errors are underestimated.

It is unclear what serum and liver concentrations are adequate for neonatal calves, but they are lower than for adults.5,32,39,40-44 Adequate VA status in neonatal calves depends mainly on VA intake during the first days of life, and delaying colostrum intake for more than 12 hours after birth affects plasma and liver VA concentrations during the first month of life.42 Despite supplementation in the first month of

---

**Table 3:** Fractions (fractiles)* of serum and liver vitamin A distributions in adult bovines and calves in the Iowa State Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (ISU-VDL) database from 2001 through 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary statistics and fractions (fractiles) of the distribution</th>
<th>Adult sera (ppm)</th>
<th>Calf sera (ppm)</th>
<th>Adult livers (ppm ww)</th>
<th>Calf livers (ppm ww)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (Number of samples)</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>601.00</td>
<td>198.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arithmetic mean</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>86.13</td>
<td>34.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>109.94</td>
<td>37.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fractiles**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fractiles</th>
<th>Adult sera (ppm)</th>
<th>Calf sera (ppm)</th>
<th>Adult livers (ppm ww)</th>
<th>Calf livers (ppm ww)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>20.40</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>38.10</td>
<td>16.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>54.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>37.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>39.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>106.00</td>
<td>42.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>122.40</td>
<td>49.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary statistics and fractions (fractiles) of the distribution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary statistics and fractions (fractiles) of the distribution</th>
<th>Adulta sera (ppm)</th>
<th>Calf sera (ppm)</th>
<th>Adult livers (ppm ww)</th>
<th>Calf livers (ppm ww)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>161.70</td>
<td>64.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>302.90</td>
<td>94.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>572.10</td>
<td>198.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Fractiles were calculated by Cleveland’s algorithm in Systat 13.1f.
life, serum and liver concentrations could be at levels considered deficient in adults.\textsuperscript{41-43} Retinol measured only in plasma does not accurately indicate VA status in calves from birth through 6 weeks of age. At 1 month of age, the only way VA could be adequately assessed was by measuring liver VA or doing a Relative Dose Response (RDR) assay.\textsuperscript{44}

Statistical analysis of scattergrams digitized from published data\textsuperscript{26-28} and one thesis\textsuperscript{30} and for the ISU-VDL data (Table 3) showed that the liver stores of vitamin A maintain serum concentrations until supplies are nearly exhausted. These implied that animals use liver supplies in times of dietary scarcity and that clinical signs of VA deficiency do not develop until the liver supplies are nearly exhausted.

Correlations of VA from related samples
We used published or digitized data for individual animals to evaluate the correlation of hepatic VA or serum VA from related calves and adult females. This analysis helps to determine if postulated equations apply to non-related samples. Also, liver and serum VA correlations were developed for “within calves” and “within adult females.” It is important to note that the methods of analysis of VA values were inconsistent across studies as the manuscripts reviewed spanned from the early 1900s to the present. However, differences in analytical methods could not be assessed in our analysis from published data.

A VA status level implies that all combinations of liver and serum VA concentrations within that bivariate range are possible. To summarize, the power-law equation should be used to regress VA concentrations:

\[ y = a x^b \] or in linearized form, \[ \log(y) = a' + b \log(x) \], where \( a' = \log(a) \).

Correlation of serum or hepatic VA from unrelated adults and calves
Little VA is transferred across the placenta, and newborn calves obtain most of their required 500,000 IU of VA by ingestion of colostrum.\textsuperscript{39} As they mature, calves obtain VA from milk, feed, and supplemental vitamins; therefore, linear relationships such as those we found are reasonable. We tested the hypothesis that the ordered vitamin A concentrations in the ISU-VDL serum or liver samples from a group of adults would be typical of ordering concentrations from calves under 6 months of age.\textsuperscript{30,39} As this hypothesis proposed, Spearman rank correlations between adults and younger age groups were significant \((P > 0.9)\) for each tissue. If a practitioner has tissue VA data for the group of adults in the herd, our equations estimate the tissue concentration distribution in the group of calves in the herd or between the dam and calf. Using fractiles to group concentrations for correlation analysis in a herd is analogous to Spearman's method: both are a correlation of ordered data. While analytical methods used by the ISU-VDL for VA would have changed with improved and new instrumental methods between 2010-2020, the direct effects would be on precision (repeatability) and sensitivity (detection and quantification limits).

### Table 4: Classification of bovine vitamin A concentrations in sera and liver from the ISU-VDL using Puls\textsuperscript{24} (Table 1) and our (Table 2) schemes are reported as counts (%). Concentrations are ppm (mg/L for serum and dry weight for liver). Puls' deficient includes our severely deficient and deficient, and the lower end of moderate. The majority of these animals are beef animals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>New scheme (this paper)</th>
<th>Puls'\textsuperscript{24} scheme</th>
<th>Severe deficiency</th>
<th>Deficient</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Adequate</th>
<th>Toxic</th>
<th>Row total (This paper)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficient</td>
<td>74 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>341 (55)</td>
<td>280 (45)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>621</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>253 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>253</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxic</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (100)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liver</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficient &lt; 30</td>
<td>58 (100)</td>
<td>43 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>75 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>21 (100)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Column total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Puls\textsuperscript{24})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>953</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Neonates and suckling calves were omitted because adequate vitamin A concentrations in serum and liver were not established. Cattle of unreported age were excluded.
Table 5: Regression models relating liver and plasma/serum vitamin A concentrations reported in the cited literature. All the models in this table used the data from Baker et al.26 (1954; Tables 21 and 22) and DC Church30 (1956; Tables 26-29). Neither author reported correlations or regression equations. Baker reported the vitamin A concentrations in the plasma and livers of 4 cows fed low-carotene rations (µg/100 ml) from November 1950 to July 1953. Church reported the plasma and vitamin A concentrations in varying numbers of steers from 1953 to 1955.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models*</th>
<th>Y = a (± se_(a)) + b (± se_(b)) × ± RMSE^2.</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>se_(a)</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>se_(b)</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>R^2</th>
<th>Pr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baker et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plasma(^a) liver</td>
<td>21.95 ± 6.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>30.53</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liver(^a) plasma</td>
<td>129.39 ± 28.10</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>151.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(plasma)(^b) liver</td>
<td>2.68 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>0.00074</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plasma(^b) log(liver)</td>
<td>8.43 ± 9.95</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>29.65</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liver(^b) log(plasma)</td>
<td>-39.05 ± 78.99</td>
<td>61.33</td>
<td>26.04</td>
<td>144.01</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(liver)(^b) plasma</td>
<td>3.50 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(liver)(^c) log(plasma)</td>
<td>-0.07 ± 0.84</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(plasma)(^c) log(liver)</td>
<td>1.95 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Church</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plasma(^a) liver</td>
<td>1.88 ± 1.43</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>23.19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liver(^a) plasma</td>
<td>21.08 ± 1.64</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>101.76</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(plasma)(^b) liver</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plasma(^b) log(liver)</td>
<td>-13.20 ± 5.23</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>34.45</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liver(^b) log(plasma)</td>
<td>21.24 ± 2.03</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>108.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(liver)(^b) plasma</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(liver)(^c) log(plasma)</td>
<td>2.71 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>&lt; .0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log(plasma)(^c) log(liver)</td>
<td>-2.75 ± 0.66</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>&lt; .0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Models: \(^a\)Linear; \(^b\)semilog; \(^c\)log-log
† se_\(a\) and se_\(b\) are the standard error of the respective regression coefficient
‡ RMSE (or ε) = root mean square error

Correlation of vitamin A in unrelated liver and serum samples
This study developed a mathematical relationship between unpaired vitamin A concentrations in bovine sera and livers submitted to the ISU-VDL. Current definitions24 (Table 1) were used to establish if vitamin A concentrations were adequate, marginal or deficient. For the ISU-VDL data, 26.1% of sera and 68.4% of liver samples were deficient. The high percentages of animals with low VA concentrations are not surprising because these are diagnostic lab samples; this sample population may or may not represent the accurate underlying population distribution of VA levels in U.S. beef and dairy cattle. The results are consistent with liver stores of vitamin A maintaining serum concentrations until supplies are nearly exhausted when the diet contains insufficient VA. A normal blood level cannot be interpreted to ensure that current intakes are adequate, but a low level would indicate a deficiency. A possible explanation is the limited availability of vitamin A due to losses by ruminal destruction when ruminants are fed a high-concentrate diet.45 Unfortunately, the VSU-VDL did not include diet; thus, we cannot link the diagnostic lab data to the dietary levels of VA.

A literature review and data analyses indicated that the continuum between deficient and adequate vitamin A status should be revised because deficiencies occur at higher serum and liver concentrations than the 1994 guidelines.24 Unlike previous proposals, our serum and liver VA ranges are bivariate criteria to be met jointly.

Conclusion
Most published regressions relating VA concentrations in bovine liver and serum/plasma were unified by the log-log relationship log(serum) = \(c^* + b\) log(liver). The relationship provides a missing connection between Puls’ criteria for VA in these tissues. Ranges for classifying deficient VA status based on clinical and experimental studies occur at higher serum and liver concentrations than Puls’ guidelines.

Our data analyses for reported relationships between serum and liver VA from the same animal showed that regressions of the fractiles of the serum and liver concentrations from non-paired animals attained a similar relationship. Using these relationships, we proposed new ranges for serum and liver
(Table 2) and emphasized that these bivariate criteria must be met jointly. Veterinary diagnostic laboratories can use this information to better estimate serum and liver VA concentrations for unpaired data from the herd and recommend to cattle producers the status of VA in their herds.
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Endnotes


b Cogniview PDF2XL Enterprise version 5.2.2.304. Cogniview-The PDF to Excel Company, Roswell, GA.


f Systat 13.1 Inpixon, Palo Alto, CA.


Abbreviations

VA    Vitamin A
log or log e    Natural logarithm
ww    Wet weight
dw   Dry weight
ppm    Parts per million
ISU-VDL    Iowa State University Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory
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