PEER REVIEWED

Passively Transferred Immunity in Newborn Calves, Rate of Antibody Decay, and Effect on Subsequent Vaccination with Modified Live Virus Vaccine

J. Kirkpatrick, DVM, DABVP¹, R.W. Fulton, DVM, PhD², L.J. Burge, MS², W. R. Dubois, DVM, DABVP¹, M. Payton, PhD³

¹Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences ²Department of Infectious Diseases and Physiology College of Veterinary Medicine ³Department of Statistics Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078

Abstract

The passive immunity transferred to calves via colostrum containing antibodies to infectious bovine rhinotracheitis virus (BHV-1), bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) 1, BVDV 2, parainfluenza-3 virus (PI-3V) and bovine respiratory syncytial virus (BRSV) was investigated to determine half-life of antibody, time to seronegative and effect on immunization. Thirty dairy calves were fed colostrum from non-pooled sources. Serum samples were obtained at the following times: prior to colostral feeding for BVDV isolation; two days of age to measure peak or near peak serum IgG and antibody to the five viruses in this study; and 30 days of age, and approximately 30-day intervals thereafter to assess colostral antibody decay and/or serologic response to vaccine antigen. Antibody titers to each of the five viruses were determined using viral neutralization tests. The mean titers in the day 2 serums were: 17.0 to IBRV; 79.1 to BVDV 1; 297.1 to BVDV 2; 479.2 to PI-3V; and 347.5 to BRSV. The mean half-life of antibodies to each virus was: IBRV, 12.7 days (d); BVDV 1, 20.5 d; BVDV 2, 20.5 d; PI-3V, 21.7 d; and BRSV, 28.1 d. The calculated time to seronegative status for each virus was: IBRV, 65.1 d; BVDV/1, 117.7 d; BVDV/2, 94.0 d; PI-3V, 183.8 d; and BRSV, 200.2 d. The time to seronegative status was dependent on amount of antibody absorbed and antibody decay rate. Calves were vaccinated as viral antibody titers either reached 0, or in the presence of waning antibodies, with a modified live virus vaccine containing IBRV, BVDV 1, BVDV 2, PI-3V, and BRSV immunogens. The active immune response (seroconversion) was dependent on the virus and amount of passive antibodies present at vaccination.

Résumé

L'immunité passive transmise aux veaux par le colostrum contenant des anticorps contre le virus de la rhinotrachéite bovine infectieuse (IBR-1), les virus de la diarrhée virale bovine BVD 1, BVD 2 et parainfluenza -3 (PI-3) et le virus respiratoire syncytial bovin (BRS) a été examinée dans le but de déterminer la demivie de l'anticorps, le temps pour atteindre une sérologie négative et l'effet sur l'immunisation. Trente veaux laitiers ont été nourris avec du colostrum de sources différentes. Des échantillons de sérum ont été obtenus aux temps suivants : juste avant la prise du colostrum pour l'isolation du virus BVD; à deux jours d'âge pour mesurer la concentration maximale ou quasi maximale des immunoglobulines G (IgG) et des anticorps des cinq virus de cet étude; et à 30 jours d'âge et par période d'environ 30 jours par la suite pour évaluer la dégradation des anticorps colostraux et/ou la réaction sérologique aux antigènes des vaccins. Les titres d'anticorps pour les cinq virus ont été déterminés avec des tests de neutralisation virale. Les titres moyens au jour 2 étaient les suivants : 17.0 pour le virus IBR, 79.1 pour le virus BVD 1, 297.1 pour le virus BVD 2, 479.2 pour le virus PI-3 et 347.5 pour le virus BRS. La demivie moyenne des anticorps pour les cinq virus était de 12.7 jours pour le virus IBR, 20.5 jours pour le virus BVD 1, 20.5 jours pour le virus BVD 2, 21.7 jours pour le virus PI-3 et 28.1 jours pour le virus BRS. Le temps

calculé pour atteindre un statut de sérologie négative était de 65.1 jours pour le virus IBR, 117.7 jours pour le virus BVD 1, 94.0 jours pour le virus BVD 2, 183.8 jours pour le virus PI-3 et 200.2 jours pour le virus BRS. Le temps pour atteindre un statut de sérologie négative était dépendant de la quantité d'anticorps absorbée et du taux de dégradation des anticorps. Les veaux ont été vaccinés, lorsque les titres d'anticorps viraux atteignirent la valeur de 0 ou lorsque la présence des anticorps était moins prononcée, avec des vaccins de virus vivants modifiés contenant des immunogènes IBR, BVD 1, BVD 2, PI-3 et BRS. La réaction immunitaire active (séroconversion) était dépendante du type de virus et de la quantité d'anticorps passifs présente lors de la vaccination.

Introduction

Immunity is passively transferred to newborn calves by ingestion and absorption of antibodies from the dam's colostrum. Ruminants have synepitheliochorial placentation which prevents transfer of maternal immunoglobulins (Ig) prenatally.¹ The Ig are absorbed via the intestinal tract which occurs in the first 24 hours of life, after which the gut closes to further Ig absorption.^{7,26}

The duration of the passively acquired Ig from the dam in the calf's serum is dependent on the amount of Ig consumed and the efficiency of absorption within the first 24 hours of life.¹⁸ The decline in passively acquired antibody levels (half-life) varies between Ig classes: 16-32 days for IgG1 and IgG2; 4 days for IgM; and 2.5 days for IgA.¹⁴ The IgG1 is the predominant Ig isotype in colostrum and also predominates in the serum after transfer.²¹

Calves receive passive immunity from their seropositive dams with viral antibodies, including those to infectious bovine rhinotracheitis virus (IBRV), also referred to as bovine herpesvirus-1 (BHV-1), bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) and bovine respiratory syncytial virus (BRSV).^{9,10} Early calfhood protection against disease caused by IBRV, BVDV and BRSV is by passive immunity.^{3,9} Studies have shown that passive immunity may block the active immune response when the calves receive viral vaccines.¹⁶ Other investigators have shown that some immunogens produce the development of immunologic memory that is not susceptible to maternal antibody regulation.^{6,24}

The purpose of the study was (1) to determine the half-life of IBRV, BVDV 1 and 2, PI-3V and BRSV antibodies in calves fed colostrum; (2) to determine the time to 0 titers to IBRV, BVDV 1 and 2, PI-3V and BRSV antibodies in calves fed colostrum; and (3) to evaluate the response of calves with and without detectable maternal antibodies to a modified live virus (MLV) IBRV, BVDV 1 and 2, PI-3V AND BRSV vaccine.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Thirty Holstein dairy calves born between September 1 and November 5 were used in the study. The cows in this herd had not received any viral vaccines within the previous 18 months. Prior to that time, cows were administered a combination vaccine containing chemically altered IBRV and PI-3V, killed BVDV, and modified live BRSV at the end of lactation. Replacement heifers were administered this same vaccine at preweaning, weaning and prebreeding on an ongoing basis.

Calves were removed from their dams immediately after birth and prior to nursing to allow pre-colostral serum sampling. Pre-colostral serums were collected from each calf (0 hour). Calves were then fed two quarts of colostrum at 2.9 (\pm 1.1) hours postpartum by nipple bottle, followed by two more quarts 11.9 (\pm 3.2) hours later. Each calf received first milking colostrum from a different cow (30 Holstein cows were colostral sources for this study). Calf #909 nursed its dam prior to precolostral blood sample collection. The calves were housed in individual hutches until weaning at six weeks of age, but were in close proximity to each other.

After weaning, the calves were sorted into two groups according to calf size and age to prevent excessive competition for feed, and housed in larger pens. Blood samples were collected at 2 and 30 days of age. The bleeding and vaccination dates thereafter reflect actual age (Table 1). Calves #56, #59 and #906 served as controls and sentinels for the study, did not receive viral vaccine and were monitored for viral infection (IBRV, BVDV 1, BVDV 2, PI-3V and BRSV) by serology at approximately 30-day intervals throughout the study.

Serums. Pre-colostral serums (0 hour) from each calf were used for BVDV isolation using a monolayer enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (M-ELISA).²⁵ Serum samples for IgG quantification and viral serology were collected from each calf at two days of age. Subsequently, serum was collected for viral serology from each calf at 30 days of age, and then at approximately 30 day intervals to establish colostral viral antibody decay rates, time to non-detectable levels or low levels, and/or response to a multi-component MLV vaccine containing IBRV, BVDV 1, BVDV 2, PI-3V and BRSV antigens (Table 1). Quantification of IgG in the day 2 sample from each calf was done by radial immunodiffusion (RID) using a commercial kit (Table 1).ª Serum IgG concentration was classified as adequate (>1600 mg/dl), marginal (800 to 1600 mg/dl) or inadequate (<800 mg/dl).²⁸

^aTriple J Farms, 777 Jorgensen Place, Bellingham, WA 98226 ^bTitanium™ MLV Cattle Vaccines, Agri Laboratories, LTD., St. Joseph, MO 64503

Calf ID/ IgG**	Day	BVD 1	BVD 2	IBR	PI3	BRSV	Calf ID/ IgG**	Day	BVD 1	BVD 2	IBR	PI3	BRSV	Calf ID/ IgG**	Day	BVD 1	BVD 2	BHV 1	PI 3	BRSV	Calf ID/ IgG**	Day	BVD 1	BVD 2	BHV 1	PI3	BRSV
898 3024	2 30 60 146 169 183* 209	$128 \\ 64 \\ 16 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 32$	4096 2048 1024 32 8 8 8	70 48 13 0 0 84	256 128 64 0 0 0	512 64 8 0 4	899 3024	$2 \\ 30 \\ 60 \\ 104^* \\ 144$	${0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 256}$	0 0 0 256	0 0 0 68	512 8 0	$128 \\ 128 \\ 64 \\ 32 \\ 0$	900 1265	$2 \\ 30 \\ 60 \\ 90 \\ 130^* \\ 167$	$ \begin{array}{r} 64 \\ 32 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 64 \end{array} $	$16 \\ 8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 64$	17 0 0 27	8 0 0 0	64 32 16 0	901 3024	2 30 60 94* 128	${0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 512}$	${0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 64}$	0 0 109	512 8 4	128 16 8 4
902 2627	2 30 60 87 101* 133	$128 \\ 128 \\ 64 \\ 16 \\ 8 \\ 4$	$64 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 4 \\ 1024$	0 0 0 14	512 128 16	256 128 16 0	903 2627	2 30 60 90 121* 158	8 4 0 0 64	0 0 0 0 64	10 0 0 40	512 128 4 0	256 16 4 0	904 100	2 30 76 85* 121	0 0 0 64	0 0 0 64	0 0 0 109	64 4 0	16 16 4 0	905 2252	2 30 60 79* 113	0 0 0 64	0 0 0 32	0 0 124	1024 32 8	512 64 4
906 1391	2 30 60 96 121 133	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1024 64 16 0	512 8 0	907 1966	2 30 60 69* 101	128 16 16 8 8	32 16 4 4 64	0 0 204	128 16 4	128 64 8	908 2971	2 30 57* 91	0 0 64	0 0 0 64	0 0 98	$\begin{array}{c} 1024\\ 256\\ 4\end{array}$	1024 64 0	909 1391	2 30 65 102 116* 142	128 32 8 4 0 8	64 16 0 0 0 0	26 10 0 0 37	256 4 0 0	1024 64 8 4
910 1391	2 30 52* 84	8 0 0 16	0 0 0 16	0 0 0	64 16 4	256 32 8	911 893	2 30 51* 83	64 16 16 8	8 4 512	19 11 0 0	256 32 0	512 128 32	912 1966	2 30 62 99 113* 139	256 64 16 4 4 8	32 16 4 0 0 64	39 19 0 0 14	1024 8 4 0	1024 256 32 8 4	52 266	2 30 60 108 114* 148	0 0 0 0 512	$egin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 512 \end{array}$	0 0 0 0 72	32 8 4 0 0 4	8 0 0 0 8
53 2252	$2 \\ 30 \\ 60 \\ 102 \\ 116^* \\ 148$	16 8 0 0 256	4 0 0 0 64	20 0 0 23	1024 4 4 0	8 4 0 8	54 3024	2 30 60 100 106* 140	0 0 0 0 64	0 0 0 0 256	0 0 0 65	256 64 4 0	512 64 32 16 16	55 3444	2 30 60 86 126* 163	16 8 4 0 0 64	0 0 0 0 64	0 0 0 0	512 128 16 4	64 16 16 0	56 1391	2 30 60 94 131 145 171	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	256 64 16 4 0	16 4 0 0 0
57 2282	2 30 60 91 128 142* 168	$128 \\ 32 \\ 16 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 64$	64 16 8 0 0 0 8	0 0 0 129	$256 \\ 64 \\ 64 \\ 16 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	$1024 \\ 64 \\ 32 \\ 8 \\ 4 \\ 0$	58 1966	2 30 58* 98	0 0 128	0 0 0 16	0 0 59	1024 512 32	256 128 64 128	59 1391	2 30 57 91 114 154	16 4 0 0 0 0	4 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	256 4 0	512 64 4 8	60 1966	2 30 55* 89	0 0 0 32	0 0 64	0 0 126	1024 64 32	256 64 32 64
61 2617	2 30 63* 95	64 32 16 64	$16 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 256$	16 14 0 0	512 128 16	128 128 64 16	62 2282	2 30 63* 95	128 32 8 32	16 4 0 32	20 14 0 0	256 32 4	8 8 8 0	63 893	2 30 62* 94	64 64 8 8	16 4 0 32	16 14 0 0	512 128 4	128 128 128 32	64 2671	2 30 50* 84	0 0 0 16	0 0 0 8	0 0 62	1024 128 32	64 8 16 4
65 472	2 30 58* 90	$\begin{array}{c} 16\\8\\4\\32\end{array}$	8 0 0 16	0 0 14	128 16 8	64 4 0 0	66 1966	2 30 60 102 116* 142	64 64 8 0 0 16	16 8 8 0 0 0	38 15 0 0 0 34	128 8 4 0	1024 128 128 32 16 4												34		

Colostral IgG level at 48 hours and colostral antibody level against IBRV, type 1 and type 2 BVDV, PI-3V and BRSV beginning at 2 days (48 hours) of age and at specified times to measure antibody half-life and response to a multicomponent viral vaccine. Table 1.

*Age of calf (days) at vaccination **IgG in mg/dl at 48 hours

© Copyright American Association of Bovine Practitioners; open access distribution.

49

Serology. A microtiter virus neutralization test (VNT) in Madin-Darby bovine kidney cells (MDBK) in 96-well plates was used to quantitate viral neutralizing antibodies to BVDV types 1 and 2, PI-3V and BRSV.^{11,13} The viruses used in the VNT were CP BVDV type 1 Singer strain; CP BVDV type 2 125C strain; PI-3V, SF-4 strain; and BRSV vaccinal strain. The lowest dilution tested was 1:4.

A plaque reduction assay (PRA) was used to detect viral neutralizing antibodies to BHV-1 using the Cooper strain as the challenge virus in 24-well plates with MDBK cells.^{11,13} The lowest dilution tested was 1:10.

Serums were considered negative (0) if there was no neutralization at 1:4, the lowest dilution tested in the microtiter VNT, or no neutralization at 1:10, the lowest dilution tested with the PRA. The negative (0) antibody level was converted to 1 for the \log_2 used in the statistical analysis, i.e., titers of 4, 8, and 16 converted to \log_2 numbers of 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Reciprocals of the endpoint titers are reported in the text and tables.

Vaccination. Maternal viral antibody decay in each calf was followed to either low or non-detectable levels, at which time 27/30 calves were administered a MLV vaccine by the intramuscular route. The vaccine was from a single lot number and contained five viral antigens; IBRV, BVDV 1, BVDV 2, PI-3V and BRSV (Table 3).^b The antibody level to each of the five viruses varied from 0 to positive titers of different levels. The age of the calves at vaccination ranged from 50 to 183 days $(60 \text{ days} \pm 4 \text{ days}; 90 \pm 10.5 \text{ days}; 120 \pm 8.3 \text{ days}; 183)$ days). Serum samples were collected from calves at 32 (± 4) days after vaccination. Serums collected at vaccination and post-vaccination were tested for viral antibodies as described above. Seroconversion was defined as a four-fold or greater increase in antibody for BVDV 1, BVDV 2, PI-3V or BRSV. Increases of only one dilution from 0 to 4 were not considered seroconversion to these viruses. Seroconversion to IBRV was considered \geq 1:20 in the PRA as all were 0 at vaccination.

Statistical analysis. For each animal and virus a simple linear regression was performed using PROC REG and SAS Version 6.11 with day as the independent variable and the titer level as the response. Initial serum collection was on day 2, and subsequently, serums were collected at 30 days of age, and at approximately each 30 days thereafter from each animal until the calf became 0 for the respective virus or the date the calf was vaccinated. Regressions were used to estimate half-life and the time required for the calf to become negative (0) for the respective viral antibody. These estimates were then compared in an analysis of variance using PROC GLM in SAS to examine whether the average half-life or the average times required to become negative were equal for the five viruses. If the five viruses were declared significant in the analyses of variance, Fisher's Least Significant Difference procedure was used to declare significant differences among the viruses. For each virus, the rates of seroconversion for the seropositive and seronegative calves at vaccination were compared using the FISHERS EXACT TEST.

Results

Monitoring for viral infections. There was no evidence of active infection with IBR, BVDV 1, BVDV 2, PI-3V or BRSV in this study. No calves displayed clinical signs of disease during the study. None were persistently infected with the BVDV as the 0 hour sera were all negative for the virus by the M-ELISA procedure. There was no measured seroconversion in the three control calves, #56, #59 and #906, to any of the five viruses. The other 27 calves either remained seronegative to the respective viruses, or the passively transferred antibody to the respective virus continued to decline at the expected rate to non-detectable or low levels (Table 1).

Antibody levels transferred via colostrum. All calves except #909 were antibody negative to the five viruses prior to feeding colostrum. This animal was discovered later to have nursed its dam immediately after calving and prior to blood collection for the pre-colostral sample. The calf had similar antibody titers in both the 0 hour and day 2 samples for each virus. This animal was kept in the study since the starting point was at or near the peak of serum IgG. Source of the colostrum was from the same herd as the remainder of the calves in this study.

Nineteen calves had adequate transfer of IgG (1966 to 3024 mg/dl), 8 calves had marginal transfer of IgG (893 to 1391 mg/dl) and 3 calves had inadequate transfer (100 to 472 mg/dl; Table 1).

The number of calves with passively derived viral antibodies in the day 2 samples, and the level of antibody titers in seropositive calves varied among viruses (Table 1). The number of calves seropositive, the geometric mean titers and range of titers for those seropositive calves for each virus were: IBRV, 11/30, mean of 26.5(10-70); BVDV 1, 18/30, mean of 79.1 (8-256); BVDV 2, 15/30, mean of 297.1 (4-4096); PI-3V, 30/30, mean of 479.2 (8-1024); and BRSV, 30/30, mean of 347.5, (8-1024; Table 2).

Antibody half-life. The half-life of the colostral derived antibodies to the five viruses were 12.7 d for IBRV, 20.5 d for BVDV 1, 20.5 d for BVDV 2, 21.7 d for PI-3V and 28.1 days for BRSV. Assuming that the half-life of the antibodies are normally distributed, analysis of variance techniques show that there were significant differ-

Table 2.Number of seropositive colostrum-fed calves, day 2 mean titers and ranges, antibody half-life, and esti-
mated time to seronegative status to IBRV, BVDV 1 and 2, PI-3V and BRSV (mean ± SD in days).

Virus	No. seropositive	Day 2 (mean titers and ranges)	Half-life (mean \pm d)	Time to 0 (mean \pm d)
IBRV	11	26.5 (10-70)	$12.7\pm5.5^{ ext{a}}$	$65.1\pm37.8^{\mathrm{a}}$
BVDV1	18	79.1 (8-256)	$20.5\pm6.2^{ m ab}$	$117.7 \pm 37.7^{\mathrm{a}}$
BVDV2	15	297.1 (4-4096)	$20.5\pm12.4^{ m ab}$	$93.9\pm61.9^{\mathrm{a}}$
PI-3V	30	479.2 (8-1024)	$21.7\pm9.6^{\mathrm{b}}$	$183.8 \pm 100.0^{ m b}$
BRSV	30	347.5 (8-1024)	$28.1\pm19.4^{\rm b}$	$200.2\pm116.7^{\rm b}$

^{a,b}Values with the same superscript do not differ (p < .05).

Table 3.Serologic response of calves to a 5-component MLV vaccine administered when maternal antibody titers
for each component were at 0 or low.

		Prevaccination/32-day* post-vaccination titers										
Calf ID	Calf age at vaccination (days)**	BVDV1	BVDV2	IBRV	PI3V	BRSV						
898	183	0/32	8/8	0/84	0/0 ^c	0/4 ^c						
899	90	0/256	0/256	0/68	8/0	32/0						
900	120	0/64	0/64	0/27	0/0 ^c	16/0						
901	90	0/512	0/64	0/109	8/4	8/4						
902	90	8/4	$4/1024^{b}$	0/14	128/16	16/0						
903	120	0/64	0/64	0/40	4/0	4/0						
904	90	0/64	0/64	0/109	4/0	4/0						
905	90	0/64	0/32	0/124	32/8	64/4						
907	60	8/8	$4/64^{\mathrm{b}}$	0/204	16/4	64/8						
908	60	0/64	0/64	0/98	256/4	64/0						
909	120	0/8	0/0°	0/37	0/0 ^c	8/4						
910	60	0/16	0/16	0/0 ^c	16/4	32/8						
911	60	16/8	$4/512^{b}$	0/0 ^c	32/0	128/32						
912	120	$4/8^{a}$	0/64	0/14	4/0	8/4						
52	120	0/512	0/512	0/72	0/4 ^c	$0/8^{b}$						
53	120	0/256	0/64	0/23	4/0	$0/8^{\rm b}$						
54	90	0/64	0/256	0/65	4/0	16/16						
55	120	0/64	0/64	0/0 ^c	16/4	16/0						
57	150	0/64	0/8	0/129	0/0 ^c	4/0						
58	60	0/128	0/16	0/59	512/32	$64/128^{a}$						
60	60	0/32	0/64	0/126	64/32	$32/64^{a}$						
61	60	$16/64^{\mathrm{b}}$	0/256	0/0 ^c	128/16	64/16						
62	60	$8/32^{b}$	0/32	0/0 ^c	32/4	8/0						
63	60	8/8	0/32	0/0 ^c	128/4	128/32						
64	60	0/16	0/8	0/62	128/32	16/4						
65	60	$4/32^{b}$	0/16	0/14	16/8	0/0 ^c						
66	120	0/16	0/0 ^c	0/34	4/0	16/4						

*Mean age in days 32 ± 4

**Mean age in d (± SD): 60 ± 4; 90 ± 10.5; 120 ± 8.3; 183

 $\ensuremath{^a\text{Denotes}}$ a lack of 4-fold seroconversion in the presence of antibody

^bDenotes a 4-fold serovonversion in the presence of antibody

 $^{\circ}$ Denotes a lack of measurable serologic response (>1:4) in the absence of measurable antibody

ences among these half-lives with an overall p-value of 0.0173 (Table 3). Multiple comparisons performed on the half-lives indicate that the mean half-life for IBRV was significantly different from PI-3V and BRSV.

Time to estimated seronegative status. The estimated length of time for calves with colostral antibodies to become seronegative to the five viruses was significant, with an overall p-value of 0.0001. The time required for IBR (65.1 days), BVDV 1 (117.7 days) and BVDV 2 (93.9 days) antibodies to decline to 0 were not significantly different, but they were significantly shorter than for PI-3V (183.8 days) and BRSV (200.2 days; Table 2).

Antibody response to vaccination. Twenty-seven calves were vaccinated with the MLV vaccine. The antibody titer to the respective virus at vaccination varied between viruses (Table 1). The interval between vaccination and serum collection was 32 ± 4 days (Table 3). All 27 calves were seronegative to IBRV at vaccination. Eighteen of the 27 calves seroconverted (0 to >1:20) to IBRV, three had a titer increase (1:20), and 6 calves remained seronegative after vaccination. Since no calves were seropositive at vaccination, no tests of conversion rates were performed.

There were 19/27 calves seronegative to BVDV 1 at vaccination and all 19 calves seroconverted to BVDV 1 after vaccination. Of the remaining 8 calves with the BVDV 1 antibody at vaccination, three calves (one each with titers of 4, 8 and 16) seroconverted, whereas calves with antibody titers of 4 (one calf), 8 (three calves) and 16 (one calf) did not seroconvert (Tables 3, 4). The seropositive calves seroconverted at a lower rate than those that were seronegative at vaccination (p = 0.00069).

Twenty-three of 27 calves were seronegative to BVDV 2 at vaccination. There were 21 BVDV 2 seronegative calves which seroconverted after vaccination, and 2 that did not. There were three calves with BVDV 2 antibody titers of 4, and all three seroconverted. One calf with a titer of 8 did not seroconvert (Table 3). The seroconversion rates of the seropositive and seronegative calves at vaccination did not significantly differ (p = 0.3945; Table 4).

Of the 27 calves, 22 had PI-3V antibodies at vaccination. None of the 22 seropositive calves or 5 seronegative calves seroconverted after vaccination. Since no calves seroconverted, no tests of conversion rates were performed.

Twenty-three of 27 calves were seropositive to BRSV at vaccination, and none of 23 seroconverted following vaccination. Four calves were seronegative at vaccination; two calves seroconverted after vaccination and two calves did not. The calves seropositive at vaccination seroconverted at a lower rate than those that were seronegative at vaccination (p = 0.0171; Table 4).

Discussion

The half-life of viral antibodies in this study were generally similar to those reported in other studies, with the exception of IBRV. Brar et al reported that the IBRV and BVDV colostral-conferred antibody half-life in calves was 21 days for each virus.⁶ In a subsequent study, Menanteau-Horta, et al reported that the average antibody half-life to IBRV and BVDV in colostrum-fed calves was 19 and 20 days, respectively.²⁴ The IBRV antibody half-life of 12.7 days in this study was approximately six days shorter than reported by Menanteau-Horta et $al.^{24}$ Potentially the IBRV antibodies in the colostrum were different Ig isotypes with a shorter half-life. This would be unusual as 30 different colostral sources were used in the study. Another difference may be related to variations in the serologic tests used to detect antibodies to IBRV. The relatively low titers (10-70) in the day 2 serum could possibly be a reason for the half-life differential. In future studies, this should be repeated with higher antibody levels at the onset.

The time required for colostral antibodies to decline below detectable levels is dependent on the amount of viral antibody consumed, the amount absorbed from the intestinal tract into the serum and the rate of decay. Also, exposure to field virus could potentially affect the time to become seronegative, but this was not apparent in this study. Several studies have reported

Table 4.The number of seropositive and seronegative calves responding to a MLV IBRV,
BVDV 1, BVDV 2, PI-3V and BRSV vaccine with a four-fold or greater than a 0 to 1:4 increase in serum titer for BVDV 1, BVDV 2, PI-3V and BRSV, and greater than a 0 to 1:20 for IBRV.

Virus	Seronegative calves respondingª	Seropositive calves responding ^b	p value
IBRV	18/27	0/0	NC ^c
BVDV 1	19/19	3/8	0.00069
BVDV 2	21/23	3/4	0.3945
PI-3V	0/5	0/22	NC ^c
BRSV	2/4	0/23	0.0171

^aSeronegative calves seroconverting/seronegative calves vaccinated.

^bSeropositive calves seroconverting/seropositive calves vaccinated.

^cNo comparisons can be made.

the duration of colostral antibodies to various viruses.^{6,18,24,27} Not all of these studies reported the estimated half-life of the viral antibody investigated.^{2,6,27} Results were expressed either as the time the respective antibodies were last detected, or the first negative date. On occasion the dates were expressed as means and/or with ranges. Kendrick and Franti reported that BVD antibodies decayed to undetectable levels by 105-230 days of age.¹⁸ Menanteau-Horta et al reported that BVDV antibodies reached 0 at 200 days, and IBRV antibodies reached 0 by around 170 days.²⁴ For BRSV, Baker et al reported that passive antibodies were undetectable in an average of 99 days (range 30-208 days),² and van der Poel et al reported that BRSV antibody levels in colostrum fed calves dropped to undetectable levels at 3-4 months of age.²⁷

In the current study, viral antibody levels of some serums became 0 to a specific virus prior to vaccination, whereas other calves had antibodies at vaccination. The age at which an animal with passively derived antibodies would become negative varied with the virus. The standard deviation (SD) for these means were quite large, ranging from 37.0 to 116.7 days for the five viruses. Also, the SD for the half-life means were often high, ranging from 5.5 to 19.4 days for the five viruses. These data illustrate the variability of data expression, and focuses attention also on the varied amount of colostral antibody fed, the amount of antibody absorbed and the rates of antibody decay.

Vaccination of young calves or neonates is possible for certain immunogens, however the animal may not respond if antibody obtained from the dam is present in the circulation at vaccination.¹⁶ In this study, most calves receiving a MLV vaccine (IBRV, BVDV 1 and BVDV 2) seroconverted. This was due in part to the lack of antibodies to IBRV, BVDV 1 and BVDV 2 at vaccination. Of the calves seronegative to IBRV, BVDV 1 and BVDV 2, 74.1% seroconverted to IBRV, 100% to BVDV 1 and 91.3% to BVDV 2 (Table 3).

Colostral derived antibody may block immunization. In this study, the BVDV 1 seroconversion rate was lower in the seropositive (3/8) than the seronegative (19/ 19) calves at vaccination (p = 0.00069). The antibody titer which appeared to block seroconversion to BVDV 1 were not uniform. There were instances where titers of 4-16 either blocked or did not inhibit seroconversion following BVDV 1 vaccination. Serum titers to BVDV 2 greater than 4 blocked a measurable serologic response to vaccination. However, seroconversion rates of seropositive (3/4) and seronegative (21/23) calves did not significantly differ (p = 0.395). These results are somewhat different than two other reports on the response of calves with passive immunity to IBRV and BVDV to MLV vaccination. In the first study, calves seroconverted to BVDV after vaccination when the geometric mean titers were between 20 and 96, but the calves did not seroconvert to IBRV if antibodies were present at the initial vaccination.⁶ In the second study, calves with a geometric mean titer of 35 at day 84 serconverted to BVDV after the initial vaccination, but the titer then declined until day 196 when they were revaccinated.²⁴ The individual calf titer at/or subsequent to vaccination to IBRV was not noted in the article. It is somewhat difficult to compare the results of the current study with the prior studies when ranges of individual titers were not reported.

No calves in our study, with or without PI-3V antibodies, seroconverted following vaccination. Only vaccine from one lot was used in this study, therefore no other comparisons could be made to indicate whether the calves, even those seronegative, would respond to vaccination. Either the maternally derived immunity to PI-3V blocked the immune response to MLV vaccination, or the PI-3V component was a weak immunogen in this vaccine lot. Fulton *et al* reported seroconversion to the PI-3V immunogen following vaccination of seropositive calves (> 1:4) less than one year of age. Three MLV vaccines and one killed virus (KV) vaccine were used in that study.¹³

Calves seronegative to BRSV in our study seroconverted after vaccination with MLV vaccine (2/4) at a significantly higher rate than the seropositive calves (0/23; p = 0.0171). This would suggest that maternally derived serum antibodies blocked the humoral immune response to MLV vaccination. Kimman and Westerbrink reported that live attenuated BRSV vaccines were ineffective in calves with maternal antibodies.²⁰ There was no description of the experimental design/results used to make that determination.

Kaberle et al reported on the antibody response of calves with maternal antibody administered KV vaccines.¹⁷ In that study, 28-69 day old calves with maternal antibodies to IBRV, BVDV 1, BVDV 2 and PI-3V received one of three KV vaccines. The mean and range of titers at vaccination for the 120 calves for the respective viruses were: IBRV, mean 14.7 (range of <2-128); BVDV 1, mean 76.6 (range of 20-1920); BVDV 2, mean 45.9 (range of 7.5-1280); and PI-3V, mean 40.8 (range of <2-480). Serums were collected 32 days later, and the antibody titers to all four viruses declined in all three vaccine groups at a rate similar to controls. Calves were revaccinated at that time. Serums were tested 29 days later (day 61 of the experiment) and demonstrated a measurable serologic response to selected vaccines. In this study the response of those calves with higher maternal antibodies were not listed, only mean titers.

In another study using MLV vaccine, calves with maternal antibodies to IBRV did not seroconvert after initial vaccination, but responded with a secondary response after subsequent vaccination with IBRV.⁶ In a more recent study,¹⁰ there was no substantial increase in serum antibody to IBRV and BRSV in calves with maternal antibodies that were vaccinated with a MLV vaccine, yet the calves responded with virus-specific T-cell responses. Potentially, calves with maternal antibodies may be primed for subsequent T-cell responses when vaccinated with MLV vaccine.¹⁰

Results of this and other studies suggest some important points to consider when developing a health management plan. Plans should be developed on an individual herd/area basis to reduce disease incidence, decrease pathogen concentration and to maximize calf immunity at the time of greatest challenge (neonatal, early calfhood or weaning/postweaning). Colostral antibodies, although a major defense mechanism in the early calfhood period, decay at a fairly constant rate and their longevity is dependent on the quantity of antibodies consumed and efficiency of absorption.^{6,18,24,27} Colostral antibodies to IBRV, BVDV and BRSV, while preventing or decreasing the severity of clinical disease, may not prevent infection or block virus transmission, thereby allowing increased concentration of pathogens in the herd.^{3,5,14} However, investigators have demonstrated a priming effect on the immune system when calves are exposed to IBRV, BVDV or BRSV antigen in the presence of colostral antibodies. Repeated exposure to these viral antigens has elicited a stronger, more rapid seroconversion.^{6,10,24} Our study and others have shown seroconversion to BVDV vaccine or virulent virus when calves have moderate to low levels of passive antibodies.^{3,8,24} Therefore, it seems prudent to begin the use of viral vaccines in calves at an early age (~ 60 days), using vaccine manufacturers recommendations. This should either result in seroconversion, prime the immune system, or result in no response, depending on the serologic status of the calf for the specific virus. Repeated vaccination(s), regardless of vaccine type (MLV or KV) is necessary to establish a satisfactory level of immunity in the group.

Appropriate vaccination protocols, vaccine handling and proper administration are only part of a total herd health management program. Without other appropriate health management practices (inter- and intra-herd biosecurity, sanitation, stress management, etc.) in place, the best vaccination program will be less than effective. It is a science that must be used appropriately at various production levels to assist in decreasing disease incidence and increasing productivity.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to acknowledge the Oklahoma State University Department of Animal Science for their cooperation in this research, in particular, the efforts of David Jones, Kelli Payne, and Amanda Sparks.

References

Arthur GH, Noakes DE, Pearson H, Parkinson TJ: Veterinary Reproduction and Obstetrics. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1992, p55.
 Baker JC, Ames TR, Markham RJF: Seroepizootiologic study of bovine respiratory syncytial virus. Am J Vet Res 45: 240-253, 1986.
 Bellknap EB, Baker JC, Patterson JS, Walker RD, Haines DM, Clark EG: The role of passive immunity in bovine respiratory syncytial virus infected calves. J Inf Dis 163: 470-476, 1991.

4. Besser TE, Gay CC, Pritchett L: Comparison of three methods of feeding colostrum to dairy calves. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 198(3):419-22, 1991.

5. Bolin SR, Ridpath JF: Assessment of protection from systemic infection or disease afforded by low to intermediate titers of passively acquired neutralizing antibody against bovine viral diarrhea virus in calves. *Am J Vet Res* 56: 755-759, 1995.

6. Brar JS, Johnson DW, Muscoplat CC, *et al*: Maternal immunity to infectious bovine rhinotracheitis and bovine viral diarrhea viruses. *Am J Vet Res* 39: 241-244, 1978.

7. Bush LJ, Staley JE: Absorption of colostral immunoglobulin in newborn calves. J Dairy Sci 63(4):672-680, 1980.

8. Coria MF, McClurkin AW: Duration of active and colostrum-derived passive antibodies to bovine viral diarrhea virus in calves. *Can J Comp Med* 42(2): 239-243, 1978.

9. Cortese VS, West KH, Ellis JA: Clinical and immunologic responses of vaccinated and unvaccinated calves to infection with a virulent type-II isolate of bovine diarrhea virus. *Proceedings XIX World Buiatrics Congress* 2: 610-614, 1996.

10. Ellis JA, Hassard LE, Cortese VS, Morley PS: Effects of perinatal vaccination on humoral and cellular responses in cows and young calves. *J Am Vet Med Assoc* 208: 393-400, 1996.

11. Fulton RW, Confer AW, Burge LJ, *et al*: Antibody responses by cattle after vaccination with commercial viral vaccines containing bovine herpesvirus-1, bovine viral diarrhea virus, parainfluenza-3 virus, and bovine respiratory syncytial virus, immunogens and subsequent revaccination at day 140. *Vaccine* 13: 725-733, 1995.

12. Fulton RW, Saliki JT, Burge LJ, *et al*: Neutralizing antibodies to type 1 and 2 bovine viral diarrhea viruses: detection by inhibition of viral cytopathology and infectivity by immunoperoxidase assay. *Clin and Diag Lab Immunol* 4: 380-383, 1997.

13. Fulton RW, Burge LJ, d'Offay JM, Payton ME: Serum antibody response in calves receiving modified live and/or inactivated vaccines containing bovine herpesvirus-1, bovine viral diarrhea virus, parinfluenza-3 virus, and bovine respiratory syncytial virus immunogens. *Bov Pract* 31: 90-96, 1997.

14. Howard CJ, Clarke MC, Brownlie J: Protection against respiratory infection with bovine virus diarrhea virus by passively acquired antibody. *Vet Microbiol* 19: 195-203, 1989.

15. Husband AJ, Brandon MR, Lascelles AK: Absorption and endogenous production of immunoglobulins in calves. *Aust J Exp Biol Med Sci* 50: 491-498, 1972.

16. Husband AJ, Lascelles AK: Antibody responses to neonatal immunization in calves. *Res Vet Sci* 18: 201-207, 1975.

17. Kaeberle M, Sealock R, Honeyman M: Antibody responses of young calves to inactivated viral vaccines. *Bov Pract* 31: 229-232, 1998.

 Kendrick JW, Franti CE: Bovine viral diarrhea: decay of colostrum-confirmed antibody in the calf. *Am J Vet Res* 35: 589-591, 1974.
 Kimman TG, Zimmer GM, Westenbrink F, *et al*: Epidemiologic study of bovine respiratory syncytial virus infections in calves: Influence of maternal antibodies on the outcome of disease. *Vet Rec* 123: 104-109, 1988.

20. Kimman TG, Westenbrink F: Immunity to human and bovine respiratory syncytial virus. Arch Virol 112:1-25, 1990.

21. Mach JP, Pahud JJ: Secretory IgA, a major immunoglobulin in most bovine external secretions. *J Immunol* 106: 552-563, 1971.

22. McGuire TC, Pfeiffer NE, Weikel JM, et al: Failure of colostral immunoglobulin transfer in calves dying from infectious disease. J Am Vet Med Assoc 169(1) 713-718, 1976.

23. Mechor GD, Rousseaux CG, Radostits OM, et al: Protection of newborn calves against fatal multisystemic infectious bovine rhinotracheitis by feeding colostrum from vaccinated cows. Can J Vet Res 51: 452-459, 1987.

24. Menanteau-Horta AM, Ames TR, Johnson DW, Meiske JC: Effect of maternal antibody upon vaccination with infectious bovine rhinotracheitis and bovine viral diarrhea vaccines. Can J Comp Med 49: 10-14, 1985.

25. Saliki JT, Fulton RW, Hull SR, Dubovi EJ: Microtiter virus isolation and enzyme immunoassay for detection of bovine viral diarrhea virus in cattle serum: J Clin Microbiol 35: 803-807, 1997.

26. Stott GH, Marx DB, Menefee BE, Nightengale GT: Colostral immunoglobulin transfer in calves. I. Period of absorption. J Dairy Sci 62:1632, 1979.

27. Van der Poel WHM, Midel WGJ, Schukken YH: Antibody titer against bovine respiratory syncytial virus in colostrum-fed dairy calves born in various seasons. Am J Vet Res 60: 1098-1101, 1999.

28. Wittum TE, Perino LJ: Passive immune status at hour 24 and long term health and performance of calves. Am J Vet Res 56 (9): 1149-1154, 1995.

Excenel® nd of ceftiofur hydrochloride sterile suspension

Pharmacia &Upjohn

For intramuscular and subcutaneous use in cattle. This product may be used in lactating dairy cattle.

CAUTION: Federal (USA) law restricts this drug to use by or on the order of a licensed veterinarian

INDICATIONS

EXCENEL Sterile Suspension is indicated for treatment of bovine respiratory disease (BRD, shipping fever, pneumonia) associated with Pasteurella haemolytica, Pasteurella multocida and Haemophilus somnus. EXCENEL Sterile Suspension is also indicated for treatment of acute bovine interdigital necrobacillosis (foot rot, pododermatitis) associated with Fusobacterium necrophorum and ides melaninogenicus

CONTRAINDICATIONS

As with all drugs, the use of EXCENEL Sterile Suspension is contraindicated in animals previously found to be hypersensitive to the drug.

DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION

Administer by intramuscular or subcutaneous administra-tion at the dosage of 0.5 to 1.0 mg ceftiofur equivalents/lb (1.1 to 2.2 mg/kg) BW (1 to 2 mL sterile suspension per 100 lb BW). Administer daily at 24 h intervals for a total of three consecutive days. Additional treatments may be administered on Days 4 and 5 for animals which do not show a satisfactory response (not recovered) after the initial three treatments. In addition, for BRD only, administer intramuscularly or subcutaneously 1.0 mg ceftiofur equivalents/lb (2.2 mg/kg) BW every other day on Days 1 and 3 (48 h interval). Do not inject more than 15 mL per intramuscular injection site. Selection of dosage level (0.5 to 1.0 mg/lb) and

regimen/duration (daily or every other day for BRD only) should be based on an assessment of the severity of disease, pathogen susceptibility and clinical response Shake well before using.

WARNINGS

NOT FOR HUMAN USE KEEP OUT OF REACH OF CHILDREN.

Penicillins and cephalosporins can cause allergic reactions in sensitized individuals. Topical exposures to such antimicrobials, including ceftiofur, may elicit mild to severe allergic reactions in some individuals. Repeated or prolonged exposure may lead to sensitization. Avoid direct contact of the product with the skin, eyes, mouth, and clothing.

Persons with a known hypersensitivity to penicillin or cephalosporins should avoid exposure to this product.

In case of accidental eve exposure, flush with water for 15 minutes. In case of accidental skin exposure, wash with soap and water. Remove contaminated clothing. If allergic reaction occurs (e.g., skin rash, hives, difficult breathing), seek medical attention.

The material safety data sheet contains more detailed occupational safety information. To report adverse effects in users, to obtain more information or obtain a material safety data sheet, call 1-800-253-8600.

RESIDUE WARNINGS: Treated cattle must not be slaughtered for 48 hours (2 days) following last treatment because unsafe levels of drug remain at the injection sites. No milk discard time is required when this product is used according to label directions. Use of dosages in excess of those indicated or by unapproved routes of administration, such as intramammary, may result in illegal residues in edible tissues and/or in milk. A withdrawal time has not been established for this product in pre-ruminating calves and drug residues at the injection site may be unsafe in this class of animal.

PRECAUTIONS

Following intramuscular or subcutaneous administration in the neck, areas of discoloration at the site may persist beyond 11 days resulting in trim loss of edible tissues at slaughter. Following intramuscular administration in the rear leg, areas of discoloration at the injection site may persist beyond 28 days resulting in trim loss of edible tissues at slaughter.

STORAGE CONDITIONS

Store at controlled room temperature 20° to 25° C (68° to 77° F) [see USP]. Shake well before using. Protect from freezing. Contents should be used within 14 days after the first dose is removed.

HOW SUPPLIED

EXCENEL Sterile Suspension is available in the following package size: 100 mL vial

NADA #140-890, Approved by FDA

Pharmacia & Upjohn Company Kalamazoo, MI 49001, USA

May 1998

816 323 103B 692025