The Role of DHI Records in Herd Health Programs

Jack D. Stout, Ed.D., M.S. Extension Dairy Specialist Dept. of Animal Science Oklahoma-State University Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074

Dairy farmers are managers of a large business. Dairy Herd Improvement (DHI) Association records indicate that the average herd size for the United States is 91 cows, Minnesota having the smallest average herd size with 42 cows, while Hawaii has 444 cows, the largest herds. Most farms would also have a similar number of replacement heifers at various stages of growth. Oklahoma data indicate that herds not enrolled in DHI programs are 20% smaller in herd size than the DHI average.

Dairymen, as managers of such large operations, must utilize all the management tools available in their decision-making process. The various records that become management tools by providing data for sound management decisions are DHI records, herd health programs, enterprise accounting, cost and return budgets, and crop yield records. DHI records are vital to the operation because they contain complete details on production, cow and heifer inventory, feeding, breeding, cost and returns and genetic progress of the dairy enterprise. DHI records are as important to the dairymen and veterinarian as a road map is to a traveler. DHI records show where the herd is now, which direction it should go, and will show the results of movement toward the goal.

Complete herd health programs are becoming a more important portion of dairy farm management. Stringent quality controls are forcing more detailed planning of treatment regimes, inoculations, immunizations, etc. The cost price squeeze continually requires greater efficiency to be profitable. Successful herd health programs have increased dairymen's income as much as \$300 per cow, depending on how the total value was determined. Good herd health programs will change income through: increased milk production; longer herd life; increase sales of breeding stock; allowing increased selection pressure for desired traits; reduced breeding, drugs, and labor costs; and increased personal pride in operation.

Veterinarians, as the providers of key professional service to the dairy enterprise, must insist on having records available that will indicate problem areas as well as measure results of their herd health program. DHI records will provide both of these answers, giving complete details on milk production and reproduction efficiency. DHI record computing centers have optional programs that will provide duplicate copies of the herd reports to cooperating veterinarians if the herd owner desires. Also, management lists to aid the veterinarian and herd manager are available.

An explanation and interpretation of the various reports and columns of the reports and summary data should be helpful in understanding how DHI record information could be used by veterinarians. The Iowa State Dairy Records Center reports will be discussed as they are used by the author. Other centers would have similar information in their DHI report.

The Sample Day and Lactation Report (Table 1) is a monthly update of information for each cow in the herd. For ease of reading and understanding, the Sample Day Report should be divided into four areas: Sample Day Data (Figure 1), Lactation-To-Date (Figure 2), Annual Projections (Figure 3), and Management Data (Figure 4).

Sample Day Data (\overline{F} igure 1) include the pounds of milk, percent butterfat and the grain mix fed on sample day. The dairymen's particular milk price and grain cost is used to determine the income over feed cost for each cow. A cow's grain needs are determined by calculating requirements for milk production, body maintenance, stage of gestation and growth allowance. Nutrients supplied by the forage fed is subtracted from total requirements to determine pounds of grain mix needed at the protein and energy level reportedly being fed. Most cows in this example are reported as being offered more grain than their calculated needs, indicating that energy and protein intake should be adequate.

There is a wide variation in the milk produced on sample day by the cows listed in Figure 1, ranging from 25.9 pounds for "Amy" to 95.0 pounds for "71". Without having the rest of the information listed on the report, we have no basis for determining the reason for the large difference.

The Lactation-to-Date (Figure 2) section is an accumulation of production data since calving. The total days of lactation are listed with the totals of milk and butterfat calculated for those days based on the sample day production. The butterfat percentage is a weighted average. The income over feed cost is the income accumulated after subtracting the cost of the previous dry period plus all feed consumed to date.

This information will begin to tell a story about each cow. At approximately 120 days of lactation, a cow will have produced 50% of her actual production

	~
(0
0	
Ì	ົ
5	2
Ì	5
ď	đ
Ĭ	2
- F	7
Ľ	Ę
5	<u>۲</u>
F	4
- 2	รี
£	Ş
E E	-
Ŀ	\triangleright
č	ñ
	2
	2
	÷
5	5
- 1	3
0	0
-	+
- 5	ř
	2
E	∃.
2	Б
H	J
2	đ
- 2	ร
- 6	Ξ.
5	Ξ.
È	ź.
2	Ð
ξ	ò
ेत्त्	3
- 9	Þ
	5
5	2
Ì	Ś.
2	D
č	2
Ę	2
6	2
E	Ξ.
ģ	2
- 5	Ļ
5	5
È	3

		ma	SAM	PLE DA	Y DAT	A		S H				ľ	ACTAT	TION TO D	ATE			·		305-2X-M	u u	DIFFEREN	CE		ACTION P	VEEDED
COMPUTER	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	POUNDS	ш 8	POUNES	OVER FEE	GRAI	N MIX		UN ED ED	DAYS FS	RESHENING	AGE	DAYS	MILK	4 %	POUNDS	AFF AFF	OVER OVER ED COST EI	HSIST CV %	MILK	FAT	MILK	FAT	DATE	SERVIC	E SIRE
1-1	48VEH6947	H 48	3.6	1.6	2.1	19	15	AUA L	0	62	6-29	70-7	292	21720	2.9	638	+	683	012	22150	650	+0689+	120	11-05	٩	
163	8671916	H 25.5	5-4 5	1.3	1.3	2 16	1	I AMY	N	100	9-21	3-05	130	0604	4.8	195		31	01%	8330	423	-6930-	100		цС Ц	
12	71LR05898	H 61.(3.6	1.6	2.3	25	21	I HONE	۲ 2	5	90-6	4-00	223	14850	2.8	423		455	028	19220	537	+3910	i\$ +	1-11	а 0	5-19
151	8863604	H 59.4	2.5	1.7	2.1	0 24	20	I NANC	Y I	-	2-26	2-06	50	3130	2.2	20		90	II C	20020	440	+4600	148		я	
1	42DEV4720	H 49.	3.0	1.5	1.7	202	15	I RUSE	2	63	9-15	3-10	214	13190	3.2	428		435 1	02%	17820	567	+2490	+36	75-9		
113	46JHM0809	H 84	3.1	3.1	3.5	6 34	91	I SKIN	NY 5	59	3-08	6-08	ц.	3080	3.6	110	_	86							В 0	4-23
111	C014234	H 43.(4	1.6	1.8	1 18	16	1 TUBE	3Y 1	\vdash	4-10	2-07	-	300	4.2	~	-	~							р 10	5-25
.	45GRF9469	Н 77.	3 2.6	2.0	2.6	18 5	28	19	2	89	2-09	3-06	4 9	4820	2.7	128	Σ	105		18840	491	+3390	-96		മ	
17	C009391	H 82.5	2 - 5	2.4	3.0	1 33	93	1 17	ι.	66	1-27	4-09	80	5750	3.4	197		197 1	03%	17520	594	+1040	+10		പ	
25	47PHC0520	H 66.6	3.2.5	1.5	2.3	3 27	22	1 25	4	109	12-16	5-09	122	8390	3.4	285		227 1	03%	17110	575	+620	-10	1-12	о d	5-20
71	73CWR3633	H 95.0	9.6	3.5	ы. 8	<u>त</u> 38	42	1 71.	4	73	2-03	6-06	70	5470	3.4	186		159 1	16%	17620	599	+2140	+15	12-12	٩	
76	71RW00131	H ES1	TANIT	TE D		36	36	1 76	4	53	12-09	6-96	129	11 89 0	3.5	420	Eu	425]	08%	22590	798	+7240+	219	1-17	Р	
16	43FM01320	1 79.	3.6	2.5	3.3	d 32	34	161	2	4	3-25	3-10	23	1830	3.6	3		52							;0 9	60-9
96	8319112	H 85.5	3.1	2.7	3.2	34	34	1 96 1	2	61	3-11	3-11	94	2850	3.4	96	0	76							Е 0	4-25
104	C012048	H 59.1	4 4	2.6	2.6	1 25	25	1 104	N	69	4-04	3-05	13	10	4.4	ų		4							B 05	5-19
106	71DFP0244	H 76.8	4	3.2	3.6	в Э]	35	1 106	M	68	12-24	4-07	114	8950	9.9	352		352 1	03\$	19920	884	+4500+	307	11-29	a	
F11	8613792	H 60.		1.9	2.2	10	21	1 117	m		10-13	3-07	86	6420	6	248	×	\$ 5 5					-	11-06	م	
145	C014233	H 51.5	3 2 S	i 1.5	1.8	1 20	17	1 145	1	-	4-08	2-07	6	46 0	2.9	13	_	16							E 0.	5-23
147	460M03178	H, 39.(3.	1-4	1.4	3 18	13	1 147	F	-	4-09	2-07	8	310	3.7	12	-	11				-			;0 9	5-24
STR-	NI ¥																									
ING	COWS MILK																									

Table 1 The Sample Day and Lactation Report

			2.8-1.2		_	_		
B		SAMP	YLE DA	Y DATA			ST	DARN
Ē	MILK	- FA	AT	INCOME	GRAI	NMIX	R 1	NAME OR
Ď	POUNDS	%	POUNDS	COST	FED	NEEDED	N G	NUMBER
H	48.3	3.4	1.6	2.10	19	15	1	ADA
H	25.9	4.9	1.3	1.32	16	17	1	AMY
H	61.0	3.0	1.8	2.31	25	21	1	HONEY
H	59.5	2.9	1.7	2.10	24	20	1	NANCY
H	49.3	3.0	1.5	1.72	20	15	1	RUSE
H	84.3	3.7	3.1	3.56	- 34	37	1	SKINNY
H	43.0	4.2	1.8	1.81	18	16	1	TUBBY
H	77.5	2.6	2.0	2.69	31	28	1	9
H	82.3	2.9	2.4	3.01	33	33	1	17
H	66.8	2.8	1.9	2.33	27	22	1	25
H	95.0	3.4	3.2	3.86	38	42	1	71
H	EST	IMAT	ED		36	36	1	76
Н	79.5	3.6	2.9	3.30	32	34	1	91
н	85.5	3.1	2.7	3.27	34	34	1	96
H	59.1	4.4	2.6	2.67	25	25	1	104
H	76.8	4.2	3.2	3.68	31	35	1	106
н	60.1	3.1	1.9	2.23	24	21	1	117
н	51.5	2.9	1.5	1.81	20	17	1	145
Н	39.0	3.7	1.4	1.43	18	13	1	147
	63.6	3.4	2.1	2.51	27	25		15



for the lactation. Four cows in Figure 2 are near the 120-day point in lactation; "Amy," "25," "76," and "106." "Amy" has only 1/2 to 1/3 the production of the other three cows. She also has only accumulated \$31 over feed cost for this lactation compared to \$425 for cow "76."

Annual Projections (Figure 3). Each month the milk production accumulated for Lactation-to-Date is projected to a 305-2X-ME basis. This standardizes each cow's record to a 305-day lactation length, milked two times daily and the same age, mature equivalent. By projecting all records to a standard level, valid comparison can be made between cows. The comparison is listed as Difference From Herdmates. In this group of cows, "Ada" is projected to produce 6890 lbs. more than herdmates while "Amy" is projected at 6930 lbs. less than herdmates.

Management Data (Figure 4) includes the remaining columns of the Sample Day and Lactation Report. "Lactation Number" records the number of calvings while "Days Dry" gives only the dry days for the previous dry period. First lactation animals, such as "Nancy" would not have a dry period. The "Days Dry" column may give some indication of past breeding management. Cows should have 45 to 60 days dry. Research does not indicate that dry periods longer than 60 days will have beneficial effect on the following lactation unless the cow is in extremely poor condition and would need extra time to recover body condition.

The "Freshening Date" listed is the day of last calving with the "Age" being the age at time of calving. An indication of calving interval can be determined by looking at Freshening Date and Due Date. "Ada," for example, will have approximately a 16-

BARN NAME OR			FA	АТ	
NUMBER	DAYS	MILK	%	POUNDS	FEED COST
ADA	292	21720	2.9	638	683
AMY	130	4090	4.8	195	31
HONEY	223	14850	2.8	423	455
NANCY	50	3130	2.2	70	90
RUSE	214	13190	3.2	428	435
SKINNY	39	3080	3.6	110	86
TUBBY	7	30 0	4.2	7	7
9	67	4820	2.7	128	105
17	80	5750	3.4	197	197
25	122	8390	3.4	285	227
71	70	5470	3.4	186	159
76	129	11890	3.5	420	425
91	23	1830	3.6	66	52
96	37	2850	3.4	96	76
104	13	770	4.4	34	43
106	114	8950	3.9	352	352
117	86	6420	3.9	248	65
145	9	460	2.9	13	16
147	8	310	3.7	12	11

Figure 2. Lactation-To-Date.

month calving interval (Fresh 6-29, Due 11-05). "Rose" has an indicated calving interval we always hope for (Fresh 9-15, due next year 9-27).

The "Due Date" column is updated with each breeding date. Cows may be reported open or pregnant on the subsequent barn sheets, following pregnancy testing. When reported pregnant, an asterisk (*) will be put in the due date, as for cows "Elsie" and "20" in Table 1. When reported open, the due date will clear and a (B) for breeding reminder will appear in the "Action Needed" column. Other Action Needed reminders are (D) for Dry at 42 or 60 days before due date, (F) for start increasing grain 14 days before calving, and (P) for pregnancy check 42 days following breeding.

Persistency is a percentage figure which tells how well the animal is holding up in production. It is the extended 305-2X-ME record for the lactation-to-date for this test shown as a percentage of the comparable figure for last month. Persistency tells how well a cow's production is fitting the average production curve. Cow "71" in this example has a persistency of 118% at 70 days in lactation. Eighteen percent above last month probably indicates that she had some problems at the start or early in lactation that affected production which has now been corrected.

All cows being above 100% persistency could indicate better management conditions on the current sample day (4-16-77) were more favorable to milk production than the previous month (3-20-77). A change of feed quality, labor problems, milking equipment problems, etc., which will affect the entire herd will be quite obvious in changes up or down of percent in this column.

The "Condition Affecting Record" column lists any

S T R	BARN		305-2X-	M.E.	DIFFERE FROM HER	NCE MATES
- NG	NUMBER	AGE	MILK	FAT	MILK	FAT
1	ADA	7-07	22150	650	+6890	+120
1	AMY	3-05	8330	423	-6930	-100
1	HONEY	4-00	19220	537	+3910	+5
1	NANCY	2-06	20020	440	+4600	-148
1	RUSE -	3-10	17820	567	+2490	+36
1	SKINNY	6-08				
1	TUBBY	2-07				
1	9	3-06	18840	491	+3390	-96
1	17	4-09	17520	594	+1040	+10
1	25	5-09	17110	575	+620	-10
1	71	6-06	17620	599	+2140	+15
1	76	6-06	22590	798	+7240	+219
1	91	3-10				
1	96	3-11				
1	104	3-05				
1	106	4-07	19920	884	+4500	+307
1	117	3-07				
1	145	2-07				

Figure 3. Annual Projections.

particular information that would affect the size or validity of the record being produced. The "M" on cow "9" indicated that she had mastitis during this lactation. It could also be used as a reminder to treat the cow while dry. Fifteen different letter codes may be used in this column.

The information on the Sample Day and Lactation Report has been discussed in sections for ease of understanding; however, it must be used as a total unit in making decisions. Cows "Amy" and "17" would be good examples of how the total information could be used. Sample Day Data show "Amy" making \$1.32 income over feed cost at 25.9 pounds milk. "17" is producing 82.31 pounds milk making \$3.01. "Amy" is 130 days into lactation and is open (no due date) as is "17" after 80-day milking. Cow "17" deserves veterinary attention to determine her breeding status. She is making money now and is projected to milk 17,520 pounds, which is 1040 pounds more than herdmates. "Amy," on the other hand, would not warrant veterinary expense. She is projected at 6930 pounds less than her herdmates, has produced 1/2 of this lactation and would not be expected to improve. Selling her now before the daily income over feed cost drops below overhead costs would be the best economic decision.

The Herd Summary, Table 2, summarizes the information of the monthly Sample Day and Lactation Report to give running 365-day averages of all data. The information of the Herd Summary is listed in box form and divided into six areas: Reproductive Summary (Figure 5), Summary of Animals to be Milking Dry or Fresh (Figure 6), Feeding Summary (Figure 7), Cost and Return Summary (Figure 8), Lactation Summary and Dry Days Summary (Figure 9), and

	-									
BARN								DUE	асті	ON NEEDED
NUMBER	LACT NO	DAYS	FRESHENING. DATE	AGE	COND AFF. REC.	OVER FEED COST	PERSIST- ENCY %	DATE	SEF	RVICE SIRE
ADA	6	62	6-29	7-07		683	101%	11-05	Ρ	
AMY	2	100	9-21	3-05		31	101%		В	
HONEY	2	55	9-06	4-00		455	102%	1-11	P	05-19
NANCY	1		2-26	2-06		90			B	
RUSE	2	63	9-15	3-10		435	102%	9-27		
SKINNY	5	59	3-08	6-08		86			В	04-23
TUBBY	1		4-10	2-07		7			Б	05-25
9	2	89	2-09	3-06	M	105			В	
17	3	66	1-27	4-09		197	103%		В	
25	4	109	12-16	5-09		227	103*	1-12	Ρ	05-20
71	4	73	2-03	6-06		159	118%	12-12	P	
76	4	53	12-09	6-06	EQ	425	108%	11-17	P	
91	2	44	3-25	3-10		52			В	05-09
96	2	61	3-11	3-11		76			В	04-25
104	2	69	4-04	3-05		43	1		в	05-19
106	3	68	12-24	4-07		352	103%	11-29	Ρ	
117	3		10-13	3-07	X	65		11-08	P	
145	1		4-08	2-07		16			В	05-23
147	1		4-09	2-07		11			Б	05-24
								-	•	

ta.
l

						REPRO	DUCTI	E SUN	/MAR'	Y					
	REPLACE	PRODUCIN	G FEMALES	NO. OF	ANIMALS	OPEN	AVG.	NO. OF	ANIMALS	BRED	DAYS TO	BREE	DING INTE	RVAL	DAYS MINIMUM
GROUP	FEMALES	NUMBER	AVG. DAYS SINCE FRESH	< 60 DAYS	60-120 DAYS	> 120 DAYS	OPEN	ONCE	TWICE	3+ TIMES	BRED	< 18 DAYS	18-24 DAYS	> 24 DAYS	INTERVAL
PRECNANT	4							2	2				1	1	
FREGRAM		15	296		10	5	107	9	5	1	97	1	4	1	386
POSSIBLY	4							3	1				1		19-
PREGNANT		8	152	1	7		92	5	2	1	79		1	2	371
0.00	23							TOTAL A	NIMALS	19	AVERAGE	SERVICE	s		
UPEN		12	70	9	1	2	70	TOTAL S	ERVICES	28	PER CON	CEPTION	:	1.5	

		Fi	gure 5.	Reprodu	active S	ummary	•				
SUMI	MARY	OF A	IMAL	S TO	BE MI	LKING	, DRY	OR F	RESH		
REPLACEMENTS TO	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	
FRESHEN		2	1	1			3	1			
PRODUCING ANIMALS TO FRESHEN		2	4	1	1	E	1	6	-	3	
		٤	0		L		#			۲	
EXPECTED TO BE MILKING	27	30	36	33	33	34	37	40	41	43	
EXPECTED TO BE DRY	8	7	2	6	6	5	5	3	2	İ	

Figure 6. Summary of Animals to be Milking, Dry, or Fresh.

Production Summary (Figure 10).

The Reproduction Summary (Figure 5) should be of particular interest to veterinarians. It would tell the reproductive status of the herd at the time a herd health program was initiated and would measure the results of an on-going program. Each box is two lines deep, with information concerning replacement heifers printed on the top line, producing cow on the lower line. Animals listed in the "pregnant" box include animals diagnosed pregnant, plus those that are 90 days or more past last reported breeding. The "possibly pregnant" box includes animals that have been bred less than 90 days and not diagnosed pregnant. The "open" box includes all cows and heifers of all ages that have not had a breeding date reported.

The number of animals open, bred, and breeding interval can aid in your evaluation of herd timing and breeding practices. This example of the pregnant cows appear to indicate the herd owner is missing estrous cycles or deliberately holding cows open to change calving time. The 15 pregnant cows averaged 97 days calving to first service, and 107 days calving to conception. With 1.5 services per conception, 107 days open seems to be caused by missed estrous cycles

NAQUASONE." Quickly gets caked udders back to normal production.

Untreated, caked udder (physiological parturient udder edema) can cost you money by keeping first calf heifers off the milking line for weeks. When not treated promptly, the udder

swells, blood circulation is impaired, and milk production suffers. Caked udder can also shorten a cow's productive life, lead to permanent udder

damage and mastitis, and increase labor costs. So it's important to get caked udders back to normal fast. That's what NAQUASONE does.

The dual action of NAQUASONE quickly drains trapped fluids, reduces swelling and inflammation, and prevents additional fluid formation. You'll see results within 24 to 48 hours.

Little wonder NAQUASONE has become the number one medication for caked udder. Your veterinarian has it. Just ask for the "big yellow pill." He'll explain the important part NAQUASONE plays in protecting the economic life of your herd.

Schering Corporation, Animal Health Division, Kenilworth, N.J. 07033.

Clinical synopsis: Response: visible in 24-48 hours; average recovery in 3-4 days. Precautions: veterinarian should be aware of the possible side effects of dexamethasone such as suppression of inflammation, reduction of fever, increased protein degradation and its conversion to carbohydrate leading to a negative nitrogen balance, sodium retention and potassium diuresis, retardation of wound healing, lowering of resistance to many infectious agents such as bacteria and fungi, reduction in numbers of circulating lymphocytes. Contraindications: animals with severe renal functions, impairments and untreated infections. Warnings: Milk taken from dairy animals during treatment and for 72 hours after the latest treatment must not be used for food. Clinical and experimental data have demonstrated that corticosteroids administered orally or parenterally to animals may induce the first stage of parturition when administered during the last trimester of pregnancy and may precipitate premature parturition followed by dystocia, fetal death, retained placenta and metritis.

Schering

Strain on suspensory ligament Excess fluid accumulation

\$ PE	8 HERD	
PLE DAY	365 DAYS	1
19	9,210	1
28	8,667	
47	17,877	
129	45.474	
129 82	45,474 27,597	

						The	Herd	Summ	ary						
					R	EPRO	DUCTIV	E SUM	MARY						
GROUP	REPLACE MENT FEMALES	PRODUCIN	AVG DAYS	NO OF	ANIMALS OF	PEN 120 DAVS	AVG. DAYS OPEN	NO. OF A	NIMALS BI	RED 3+ TIMES	DAYS TO FIRST BRED	BREED	18 24 DAYS	24 DAYS	DAYS MINIMUN FRESHENING INTERVAL
PREGNANT	4	15	296		10	5	107	29	2	1	97	1	1 4	1	386
POSSIBLY	4	8	152	1	7		92	3	1	1	79		1	2	371
OPEN	23	12	70	9	1	2	70	TOTAL AN	AVICES	19 28	AVERAGE S	ERVICES	1	•5	

Table 2

SUMI	MARY	OF A	NIMAL	S TO	BE MI	LKING	D R Y	0 R F	RESH		
REPLACEMENTS TO FRESHEN	APR	MAY 2	JUN 1	JUL 1	AUG	SEP	OCT 3	NOV	DEC	JAN	
PRODUCING ANIMALS TO FRESHEN		2	6	1	1	5	1	4	1	2	
EXPECTED TO BE MILKING	27	30	36	33	33	34	37	40	41	43	
EXPECTED TO BE DAY	8	7	2	6	6	5	5	3	2		

	FEEL	DING S	UMMA	RY			
STR. 1 SAMPLE DAY	KIND	POUNDS FED	S TON	% D.M.	THERMS N.E.	% PROTEIN	LBS. PER COW
HAY	52	10	50	90	56	15	4,910
HAY SILAGE	65	15	18	35	51	16	5,870
CORN SILAGE	75	15	20	35	65	8	8,570
GRAIN MIX	25	20	80	90	92	10	5.527
LACT. NUMBER INS MILE A	VERAGE B	DDY WEIGI	HT :	1390		DAY	ANNUAL
FIRST 11 56.4 PRG	PROTEIN NEEDED IN GRAIN MIX					14	AVERAGE
OTHER 24 78.2 LBS	FORAGE D	.M. PER BC	DY CWT			1.5	1.9
ALL 35 72.4 LBS	MILK PROD	DUCED PEF	LB. GR	NIN		2.0	2.8

	COST AN	D RETURN	SUMMA	RY	
		S PER	cow	S PE	RHERD
		SAMPLE DAY	365 DAYS	SAMPLE DAY	365 DAYS
FORAGE COST		.54	288	19	9.210
GRAIN COST		.80	271	28	8,667
TOTAL FEED COST		1.34	559	47	17,877
VALUE OF PRODUCTION	DN	3.69	1,421	129	45,474
INCOME OVER FEED COST		2.35	862	82	27,597
MILK SHIPPED DAILY, LBS.	1,435	FEED COST PE	R CWT. MILK	3.30	3.61
MILK ON SAMPLE DAY, LBS	1,421	RETURN PER	S1 FEED COST	2.76	2.54
% OF MILK SHIPPED	101	MILK PRICE P	ERCWT	9.10	9.17

					PRC	DUCTION S	UMMARY					
	DAVS	PROD	UCING F	EMALES	X IN	COW-DAYS	TEST INTERVAL DAILY AVERAGE			BOLLING	G 365 DAYS	
SAMPLE DATE	PERIOD	ENTERED	JCING FEMALES X IN LEFT ON FARM MILK 32 82 34 80 1 33 84 34 86 3 31 83 33 85 2 31 87 31 89 1 30 92 31 87	ON TEST	MILK	×	FAT	MILK	%	FAT		
4-18-76	2			32	82	64	38.9	3.51	1.38	14,130	3.51	496
5-14-76	26	2		34	80	884	38.5	3.48	1.34	14,176	3.52	499
6-12-76	29		1	33	84	957	40.9	3.63	1.48	14,680	3.44	50
7-18-76	36	1	S	34	86	1224	42.8	3.27	1.40	15.059	3.40	512
8-14-76	27		3	31	83	837	40.7	3.11	1.27	15,854	3.28	520
9-12-76	29	2		33	85	95 7	41.6	3.54	1.47	16.238	3.19	518
10-15-76	33		2	31	87	1023	42.4	3.38	1.43	15.723	3.32	522
11-17-76	33			31	89	1028	44.9	3.22	1.45	16.458	3.19	52
12-09-76	22		1	30	92	660	45.7	3.08	1.41	15.793	3.28	518
1-15-77	37	1		31	87	1147	43.8	3.31	1.45	15.357	3.36	516
2-18-77	34	1	1	31	84	1055	44.2	3.41	1.51	15.262	3.44	52
3-19-77	29			31	83	899	42.5	3.53	1.50	15.398	3.39	522
4-16-77	28	5	1	35	80	939	41.7	3.49	1.46	15.507	3.37	527
NO. TESTS = 13	365	10	9	365 DAY T	OTALS	11674co	W-YEARS =	31.9	8	HERD	AVERAG	E

LACT.	305-2	X-M.E.	DIFFER	DIFFERENCE FROM HERDMATES				
NO.	MILK	FAT	MIL	<	FAT			
1 1	5,92	1 50	2 +4	46	-44			
2	7,06	6 56	2 +16	13	+15			
3	7,68	3 63	7 +18	88	+75			
4. 1	8,65	3 63	8 +30	75	+81			
AVG.	7.17	0 55	0 +16	28	+23			
	DRY	DAYS	SUMMA	RY				
NUMBER	NUMBER	NUMBER	TO	TAL				
< 40	40 70	> 70	NUMBER	AVG D	AVSDRY			
6	15	5	26		54			

in early lactation. The 12 open cows are developing the same long intervals. One cow is in the 60- to 120day interval and two are past the 120-day open.

If this particular dairyman requested a herd health program to improve the reproductive efficiency of his herd, he would certainly have to agree to change management to make the program work. We have records on several Oklahoma dairy herds where veterinarians have spent several months of palpation and diagnostic tests to determine that lack of heat detection was the only cause of low breeding efficiency; however, some of the dairymen would not accept that diagnosis.

The Summary of Animals to be Milking, Dry or Fresh (Figure 6) is a projection of animals' lactation status based on the animals reported bred, using separate breed gestation lengths and an expected 60day dry period. Separate counts of replacement females to first freshen and currently producing females are provided. This summary should be helpful to both dairymen and veterinarians in planning ahead for dry cow and post-calving treatments and calfhood vaccination schedules.

The Feeding Summary (Figure 7) provides the complete feeding information for string one on sample day and annual averages for the previous 365 days. The kind of ration being fed and the quality codes for each part are listed. On an annual basis, the forage dry matter consumed should normally be around 2.0 pounds per body cwt. With excellent quality forage the forage dry matter might reach 2.3 pounds per body cwt. without reducing milk produc© Copyright American Association of Bovine Practitioners; open access distribution

	FEEL	DING S	UMMA	RY			
STR. 1 SAMPLE DAY	KIND	POUNDS	s	%	THERMS	%	LBS. PER COW
DNLY FEED REPORTED	CODE	FED	TON	D.M.	N.E.	PROTEIN	ANNUALLY
łAY	52	10	50	90	56	15	4,910
HAY SILAGE	65	15	18	35	51	16	5,870
CORN SILAGE	75	15	20	35	65	8	8,570
GRAIN MIX	25	20	80	90	92	10	5,527
LACT. NUMBER LBS. MILK	AVERAGE B	ODY WEIG	нт:	1390		SAMPLE DAY	ANNUAL
FIRST 11 56.4 PRO	DTEIN NEED	ED IN GRA			14	AVERAGE	
OTHER 24 78-2 LBS	6. FORAGE D	.M. PER B	ODY CWT			1.5	1.9
ALL 35 72.4 LBS	. MILK PROD	DUCED PE	R LB. GR.	AIN		2.0	2.8

Figure	7.	Feeding	Summary.
--------	----	---------	----------

	COST AN	D RETUR	N SUMMAI	Y F	
		\$ PEI	RCOW	\$ PE	RHERD
		SAMPLE DAY	365 DAYS	SAMPLE DAY	365 DAYS
FORAGE COST		.54	288	19	9,210
GRAIN COST		.80	271	28	8,667
TOTAL FEED COST		1.34	559	47	17,877
VALUE OF PRODUCTIO	3.69	1,421	129	45,474	
INCOME OVER FEED C	2.35	862	82	27,597	
MILK SHIPPED DAILY, LBS.	1,435	FEED COST PE	R CWT. MILK	3.30	3.61
MILK ON SAMPLE DAY, LBS.	1,421	RETURN PER	\$1 FEED COST	2.76	2.54
% OF MILK SHIPPED	101	MILK PRICE P	ER CWT.	9.10	9.17

		LACT	ATION	S	UMMA	RY			
LACT.		305-2	X-M.E.		DIFFERENCE FROM HERDMATES				
NO.		MILK	FAT		MIL	<)	FAT		
1	1	5,92	1 50	2	+4	46	-44		
2	1.	7,06	6 56	2	+16	13	+15		
3	Ľ	7,68	3 63	7	+18	88	+75		
4+	1	8,65	3 63	8	+30	75	+81		
AVG.	1	7.17	0 55	0	+16	28	+23		
		DRY	DAYS	S	и м м а	RY			
NUMBE	R	NUMBER	NUMBER		то	TAL			
< 40	3	40-70	> 70	N	UMBER	AVG.	DAYSDRY		
	5	15	5		26		54		

Figure 9. Lactation Summary

tion. Higher levels of dry matter would probably reduce total energy intake, causing loss of milk production. Forage dry matter intake of much less than 1.9 to 2.0 pounds body cwt. would usually increase feed costs more than increased production would make profitable.

The Cost and Return Summary (Figure 8) lists the feed costs, value of product, and income over feed costs. This information is figured on a per cow and per herd basis for sample day and the previous 365

Figure 8. Cost and Return Summary.

days average. Hopefully, feed costs would never amount to more than 50% of the value of product. Since DHI records do not include the cost of feeding replacement heifers, feed costs in this summary should run 40 to 45% of the value of milk sales. Oklahoma cost and returns studies of dairy farms of the southwest region indicate that as the profit decreases, the amount of money dairymen spend on breeding and veterinary supplies decrease. During 1973-77 studies, when feed cost-milk ratio was 1 to 2, veterinary expenditures were \$22 per cow. However, in 1975, when feed costs began to soar and milk prices declined, veterinary expenditures per cow dropped by 54%.

The summary data concerning milk production are in three areas: Average Peak Production (Figure 9), Lactation Summary (Figure 9), and Sample Day Production Summary (Figure 10). The peak production is calculated for cows in first lactation, cows in second or later lactations and all cows. Peak milk flow data would give some indication of general management of the herd.

The Lactation Summary (Figure 9) provides data to compare the producing animals by lactation groups. First lactation animals should be equal or superior to later lactation groups when replacements are properly raised and sired by superior sires. Low

PRODUCING F	EMALES	% IN							
NTERED LEFT	ON FADIA		COW-DAYS [TEST INTERV	AL DAILY AV	ERAGE	ROLLING	365 DAYS	
	ON FARM	MILK	ON TEST	MILK	%	FAT	MILK	%	FAT
	32	82	64	38.9	3.51	1.38	14,130	3.51	496
2	34	80	884	38.5	3.48	1.34	14,176	3.52	499
1	33	84	957	40.9	3.63	1.48	14,680	3.44	505
1	34	86	1224	42.8	3.27	1.40	15,059	3.40	<u> 512</u>
3	31	83	837	40.7	3.11	1.27	15,854	3.28	520
2	33	85	957	41.6	3.54	1.47	16,238	3.19	518
2	31	87	1023	42.4	3.38	1.43	15,723	3.32	<u>522</u>
	31	89	1028	44.9	3.22	1.45	16,458	3.19	525
1	30	92	660	45.7	3.08	1.41	15,793	3.28	518
1	31	87	1147	43.8	3.31	1.45	15,357	3.36	<u>516</u>
1 1	. 31	84	1055	44.2	3.41	1.51	15,262	3.44	525
	31	83	899	42.5	3.53	1.50	15,398	3.39	522
	35	80	939	41.7	3.49	1.46	15.507	3.37	523
<u> 10 </u>	365 DAY TO	DTALS	11674 ^{co}	W-YEARS =	31.9	8	HERD	AVERAG	E
	2 2 1 1 1 1 5 10 9	3 31 2 33 2 31 1 30 1 31 1 30 1 31 1 31 5 1 35 1 35 10 9 ^{365 DAY TO}	3 31 83 2 33 85 2 31 87 1 30 92 1 31 87 1 31 87 1 31 87 1 31 84 31 83 5 1 35 10 9 365 DAY TOTALS	3 31 83 837 2 33 85 957 2 31 87 1023 2 31 87 1023 31 89 1028 1 30 92 660 1 31 87 1147 1 1 31 84 1055 31 83 899 5 1 5 1 35 80 939 10 9 365 DAY TOTALS 11674 ^{co}	3 31 83 837 40.7 2 33 85 957 41.6 2 31 87 1023 42.4 31 89 1028 44.9 1 30 92 660 45.7 1 31 87 1147 43.8 1 1 31 84 1055 44.2 31 83 899 42.5 5 5 1 35 80 939 41.7 10 9 365 DAY TOTALS 11674COW-YEARS =	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 31 83 837 40.7 3.11 1.27 15,854 2 33 85 957 41.6 3.54 1.47 16,238 2 31 87 1023 42.4 3.38 1.43 15,723 2 31 89 1028 44.9 3.22 1.45 16,458 1 30 92 660 45.7 3.08 1.41 15,793 1 31 87 1147 43.8 3.31 1.45 15,357 1 31 87 1147 43.8 3.31 1.45 15,262 31 83 899 42.5 3.53 1.50 15,398 5 1 35 80 939 41.7 3.49 1.46 15.507 10 9 365 DAY TOTALS 11674 ^{cow-years =} 31.98 HERD	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

30.9 COW-MO.

Figure 10. Production Summary.

34x38.5 = 1309 ÷ (34x80%) = 52# milk 30x45.7 ÷ (30x92%) = 49# milk.

first lactation average may indicate a need for better heifer management or improved sire selection.

The Production Summary (Figure 10) includes data for each sample date during the previous 365 days. Trends can be noted relative to herd size, turnover, percent in milk, daily milk, and fat test. Herd averages for the 365-day period ending with each sample date can measure the herd production progress. Percent cows in milk must always be considered when comparing test interval daily milk averages. The daily milk average includes data with 80% in milk for all cows-milking and dry. In comparing the May sample and December sample date with 92% cows in milk, the cows milking in May were producing the most. Herd owners that insist on having herd health programs without including DHI records as the criteria for measuring results are penalizing the veterinarian and themselves. Herd health programs should be profitable to both dairymen and veterinarian. Many studies list veterinary and drug costs at \$15 to \$20 per cow per year. A 100cow herd on this basis would be a good addition to any veterinarian's practice. Likewise, if the dairyman reaps his proportionate increased returns, he should welcome the expenditure. However, neither individual should plan programs without a means of measuring the results. DHI records will furnish the answers you need for both parties.

A simple solution to a complex problem...

HARDJO

ICTEROHAEMORRHAGIAE

POMO

CANICOLA

GRIPPOTYPHOSA

LEPTOMUNE-5

... provides protection against the five major serotypes of leptospirosis.

A single 5 cc. dose of LEPTOMUNE-5 protects against grippotyphosa, hardjo, pomona, icterohaemorrhagiae and canicola.

Safe for use in pregnant animals.

