Observations on the Epidemiology of Bluetongue Virus Infections in the Caribbean and Florida

E. Paul J. Gibbs

Ellis C. Greiner Center for Tropical Animal Health College of Veterinary Medicine University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32610

Introduction

The serological recognition of bluetongue virus infections of livestock in Brazil in 1978 (1) was the first published confirmation that the virus infected livestock in the neotropics. The importation of cattle and water buffalo in 1980/81 into the USA via the H.S. Truman Quarantine Station at Fleming Key, Key West, Florida, and a serological survey of cattle in Puerto Rico and the US Virgin Islands, led to the recognition that other countries in the region had infected livestock (2, 3).

Setting aside the attendant problems in regulating international trade and movement of germ plasm of domestic ruminants (4), these events have drawn attention to the limited information available on the epidemiology of bluetongue in the neotropical region of the Western Hemisphere. To our knowledge, however, no clinical disease caused by bluetongue virus has been reported in the region.

This paper reviews recent research on the epidemiology of bluetongue in South Florida and the Caribbean.*

Prevalence of Bluetongue Infections in Livestock (as assessed by the bluetongue immunodiffussion (BTID) test (5).

As as reult of the first regional meeting of the Directors of Animal Health of the Antilles zone (includes Guyana and Surinam) held in Barbados in 1981 under the sponsorship of the InterAmerican Institute for Cooperation in Agriculture (IICA), a serological survey of 9 countries in the zone was completed in 1982. Six thousand two hundred and fifty sera, collected from cattle, sheep and goats, were tested in

Abstracted from data presented at the 1984 "International Symposium on Bluetongue and Related Orbiviruses". Asilomar, California by permission of Alan Liss Inc., New York.

*South Florida is ecologically similar to the neotropics and differs significantly from the nearctic ecology of North Florida and the other contiguous states of the USA. Studying bluetongue in Florida offers the opportunity to examine the epidemiology of the virus at the interface of two ecological zones. Barbados. A summary of this survey, details of which have been published (6), is presented in Table 1 together with additional data for the Bahamas.

These data indicate that infection with bluetongue virus or closely related viruses that cross react in the BTID test—is widespread in this region and that the prevalence is similar to that reported previously for Florida, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands (2, 7).

Seasonal Incidence of Bluetongue Infections

In the absence of clinical disease associated with bluetongue virus infections in Florida and the Caribbean, a sentinel system of cattle herds and sheep flocks was established, between 1981 and 1982, to investigate the seasonal transmission of the virus (7-9). This system has been based on 8 cattle herds (Florida-4, Puerto Rico-3, Trinidad-1) and 3 sheep flocks (Barbados-2, Tobago-1). All sentinel animals (20 per location) have been monitored monthly for seroconversion. Only in Florida has it been possible, to date, to examine sentinels for viruses. Some of the herds in Florida, of which the sentinels are a part, have been bled annually since 1980.

This system has identified that livestock in the Caribbean are generally infected between mid-summer and late fall (9). In Florida, it is recognized that most cattle seroconvert also in late summer and fall; however, it now appears though populations of *Culicoides* species, considered vectors or possible vectors, are similar to other years (7).

Identification of Bluetongue Virus Serotypes

There are several methods available for field survey work to establish the identity of bluetongue virus types active in a given area. The most accurate way is to isolate viruses, but there are currently no veterinary laboratories in the anglophone countries of the Caribbean with facilities for isolation of bluetongue viruses, either from ruminants or arthropod vectors.

At the start of these studies it was recognized that in the absence of any perceived clinical problems, it was unreasonable to expect international laboratories to commit

TABLE	1.	Serological	Survey	for	Antibody	to	Bluetongue	Virus	in
		Cattle, Shee	ep and (Goats	in Caribl	bear	Region*.		

ntry	Number with Antibody Number Tested	Percentage with Antibody		
Jamaica	823/1075	77		
St. Kitts/Nevis	331/475	70		
Antigua	326/425	77		
St. Lucia	161/197	82		
Barbados	520/831	63		
Grenada	189/216	88		
Trinidad and Tobago	850/1071	79		
Guyana	701/1361	52		
Surinam	500/596	84		
Bahamas (Andros, Grand Bahama, New Providence)	66/85	78		
	ntry Jamaica St. Kitts/Nevis Antigua St. Lucia Barbados Grenada Trinidad and Tobago Guyana Surinam Bahamas (Andros, Grand Bahama, New Providence)	Number with Antibody Number TestedJamaica823/1075St. Kitts/Nevis331/475Antigua326/425St. Lucia161/197Barbados520/831Grenada189/216Trinidad and Tobago850/1071Guyana701/1361Surinam500/596Bahamas66/85(Andros, Grand Bahama, New Providence)		

*Modified from Gibbs et al (1983a) by permission of Veterinary Record.

time and expense to look for bluetongue virus in large numbers of routine samples from sentinel animals. Thus, until bluetongue viruses are available from the Caribbean, serological studies involving the detection of neutralizing antibody in the sera of recently infected sentinel animals have been used as an indicator to the serotypes active in this region.

This approach, which has also been broadened to examine sera from populations of yearling animals when sentinels are not available (12). From the examination of 244 sera, most of which were collected in 1982 from cattle, sheep and goats in 7 countries of the Caribbean, it was concluded that bluetongue virus types 6, 14 and 17 were active in the majority of the countries during 1981-82 (Table 2).

TABLE 2.	Serological	survey	of	cattle,	sheep	and	goats	in	the
	Caribbean	Region	and	countri	ies of	South	Amer	ica	for
	antibody to	differe	nt se	erotypes	s of blu	uetong	ue viru	JS*.	

Country**	Number of Sera Examined	Antibody Clusters To Bluetongue Virus Types
Jamaica	19	6,14,17
Antigua	26	6,12,14,17
Barbados	35	1,6
Grenada	39	6,14,17
Trinidad and Tobago	73	6,14,17
Guyana	23	14,17
Surinam	29	6,14,17

*Abstracted from Gumm et al (1984) by permission of Veterinary Record.

**Listed by latitude from north to south.

The difficulties in interpreting the epidemiological significance of data generated by this method have been discussed in detail by others (10, 11). While the conclusions drawn from such surveys should be considered indicative of the serotypes active in an area rather than definitive proof, their predictive value should not be underestimated. This was illustrated by recent events in Florida. Examination of sera, collected in 1982 from one of the sentinel herds in Florida, against 21 serotypes of bluetongue virus indicated that bluetongue virus type 2 had caused previous infection. Initial attempts, at the time of collection of the samples, to isolate virus had been unsuccessful, but a re-appraisal of the techniques used led to the identification of bluetongue virus type 2—the first time this virus has been recorded in the Western Hemisphere (8).

Conclusions

The absence of clinical disease, lack of laboratory facilities in the Caribbean, licensing restrictions on the examination of samples for virus isolation in Florida—if they originate from the Caribbean-and limited financial support, have each promoted an increased reliance on serology for investigating the epidemiology of bluetongue in the Caribbean and Florida. By using serology, we consider that it has been demonstrated that bluetongue infection is well established in the neotropics. A foundation has been laid for detailed studies to determine the clinical importance of the virus, the vectors involved in transmission, and other features of the epidemiology. The information obtained to date has been of value to the Directors of Animal Health of several countries in the Antilles in the development of an acceptable policy for the interchange of ruminant livestock and germ plasm.

Acknowledgements

The above studies would not have been possible without the close collaboration of several agencies and laboratories. We should like to thank Dr. F. Alexander, InterAmerican Institute for Cooperation on Agriculture, Georgetown, Guyana, South America; Dr. T. King and Mrs. J. Roach, Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Consumer Affairs, Barbados; and the Directors of Animal Health of the countries that participated in the serological survey of the region. The sentinel herds were managed by Drs. G. Combs, R. Rollo and G. Garris (Puerto Rico), Dr. T. King (Barbados), Dr. V. Moe (Trinidad and Tobago), and Dr. F. Pate, Dr. P. Mislevy and Mr. L. Adams (Florida). Dr. W. Taylor, Animal Virus Research Institute, Pirbright, England, Dr. L. Barber, USDA, Arthropod-borne animal Disease Research, Denver, Colorado, Dr. J. Pearson, USDA/APHIS National Veterinary Services Laboratory. Ames, Iowa, and Dr. J. House, USDA, Plum Island Animal Disease Center, New York, provided invaluable assistance. The test reagents for the serology were provided by the Reagents Section, Scientific Services Laboratory, National Veterinary Services Laboratory, Ames, Iowa. Ms. Kay Warren and Ms. Annie Moreland provided technical assistance.

Financial support was provided, in part, by USDA-APHIS, USDA-ARS, and IICA.

References

1. Office International des Epizooties (1979). World Reporting Service on Evolution of Epizooties. Information note number 389(d). 2. Metcalf H.E., Pearson J.E., Klingsporn A.L. (1981). Am J Vet Res 42:1057. 3. Groocock C.M., Campbell C.H. (1982). Can J Comp Med 46:160. 4. Gibbs E.P.J. (1983). J Am Vet Med Assc 182:1190. 5. Pearson Je, Jochim M.M. (1979). Proc Annu Meet Am Assoc Vet Lab Diagn 22:463. 6. Gibbs E.P.J., Greiner E.C., Alexander F.C.M., King T.H., Roach C.J. (1983a). Vet Rec 113:446. 7. Gibbs E.P.J., Greiner E.C. (1983). "Bluetongue infections and *Culicoides* species associated with livestock in Florida and the Caribbean Region" in Double-Stranded RNA Viruses. Eds R.W. Compans, D.H.L. Bishop, Elsevier Science Publishing Co., p. 375. 8. Gibbs E.P.J., Greiner E.C., Taylor W.P., Barber T.L., House J.A., Pearson J.E. (1983b). Am J Vet Res 44:2226. 9. Greiner E.C., Garris G.I., Rollo R.T., Knausenberger W.I., Jones J.E., Gibbs E.P.J. (1984b). Prev Vet Med. In press. 10. Herniman K.A.J., Gumm I.D., Owen L., Taylor W.P., Sellers R.F. (1980). Bull Off Int Epizoot 92:581. 11. Della-Porta A.J., Sellers R.F., Herniman K.A.J., Littlejohns I.R., Cybinski D.H., St. George T.D., McPhee D.A., Snowdon W.A., Campbell J., Cargill C., Corbould A., Chung Y.S., Smith V.W. (1983). Vet Microbiol. 8:147. 12. Gumm I.D., Taylor W.P., Roach C.J., Alexander F.C.M., Greiner E.C., Gibbs E.P.J. (1984). Vet Rec. Submitted for publication. 13. Greiner E.C., Barber T.L., Pearson J.E., Kramer W.L., Gibbs E.P.J. (1984a). Proc Asilomar Internat Symp Bluetongue. 14. Rubin H.L. (1984). Florida Cattleman 48:100.

Paper presented at the XIIIth World Congress on Cattle Diseases, Durban, S. Africa, Sept. 17-21, 1984.